1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
2	HOUSTON DIVISION		
3	RAMCHANDRA ADHIKARI, . Civil Action		
4	ET AL., . No. H-09-1237		
5	· · ·		
6	VS		
7	. September 25, 2012		
8	DAOUD & PARTNERS, ET AL 1:40 P.M. . HOUSTON, TEXAS		
9			
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEITH P. ELLISON		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12	APPEARANCES:		
13 14	FOR PLAINTIFFS: MS. AGNIESZKA M. FRYSZMAN MS. MAUREEN E. McOWEN Cohen Milstein, et al.		
15	1100 New York Avenue NW Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20005		
16	Hazirijeer, 20 2000		
17	FOR DEFENDANT DAOUD & PARTNERS: MR. JOSEPH CALDWELL SARLES		
18	Quinn Emanuel Urquhurt & Sullivan 865 South Figueroa Street		
19	10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript		
25	produced by computer-aided transcription.		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):		
2	2		
3		ILLY M. DONLEY ICHAEL MENGIS	
4	4 MR. F	ARRELL HOCHMUTH & Hostetler LLP	
5		Louisiana	
6		on, Texas 77002	
7	7		
8	8		
9	U.S. 1	District Court	
10	515 Rusk, Suite 8016 Houston, Texas 77002		
11		50.5157	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18	,		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	Stephanie Kay Carlisle,	CSR, RPR 713.250.5157	

-	PROCEEDINGS
2	(September 25, 2012)
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon and welcome. We will
4	take appearances of counsel beginning with plaintiffs.
01:40:42PM	MS. FRYSZMAN: Agnieszka Fryszman for the plaintiff,
(Your Honor.
-	MS. McOWEN: Maureen McOwen for the plaintiffs.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MR. FALLS: Justin Falls for defendant, Daoud &
10	Partners.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. DONLEY: I'm Billy Donley for the KBR
13	defendants. With me today, I have Mike Mengis, also with
14	Baker and Hostetler.
15	MR. HOCHMUTH: Farrell Hochmuth with Baker and
16	Hostetler. And Mr. Dean Graves, in-house with KBR.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	All right. We have got quite a lot of paper on
19	all this. And one of the more recent filings where plaintiff
01:41:21PM 20	indicated they were dropping counts four and five, I gather
23	that would change the terrain quite a lot, wouldn't it?
22	MS. FRYSZMAN: We decided to do that to try to
23	vindicate this trial in the country that we have. We have
24	narrow discovery
01:41:36PM 25	THE COURT: Now

```
THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I'm not getting
          1
             anything you're saying.
          2
          3
                       THE COURT: Yeah, no. That's just a problem.
                                                                      Why
          4
             don't you come to the mike.
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: Yes, it would narrow the issues that
          5
01:41:44PM
          6
             we would attempt to seek discovery on in trial so we could
          7
             have a case that we could try --
          8
                       THE COURT: Well, wouldn't it affect a lot of these
          9
             pending motions in terms of how much electronic discovery you
01:41:56PM 10
             need or how long depositions need to be or --
         11
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
                                      I think so, yes.
         12
                       THE COURT: Have you discussed, between and among
         13
             yourselves, how it might effect any of these pending motions?
         14
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: No, I quess we haven't. I mean, we
             have discussed some of the pending discovery with Farrell, but
01:42:11PM 15
             I think --
         16
         17
                       MR. DONLEY: May I, Your Honor?
         18
                       THE COURT: Yes, sir.
         19
                       MR. DONLEY: If I may. Your Honor, we have sent
01:42:19PM 20
             correspondence to plaintiffs' counsel -- Billy Donley, for the
         21
             KBR defendants. We have sent correspondence to plaintiffs'
         22
             counsel asking, now that you have dropped these claims -- and
         23
             at the time they were only going to drop them, so they hadn't
             been dropped yet -- we asked would you now tell us what
         24 l
01:42:33PM 25
             discovery requests of us you are withdrawing, and we haven't
```

1 received a response yet. 2 MR. SARLES: May I, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. SARLES: Just to clarify, as I understand it, 4 the plaintiffs are not dropping any of their RICO claims as 5 01:42:43PM against Daoud & Partners entities. So from our perspective 6 7 the case remains identically as broad. 8 MS. FRYSZMAN: We haven't been ignoring Mr. Donley's 9 e-mail. We just got it. We're working on it. We flew down 01:43:00PM 10 here and we are working on it. 11 THE COURT: Have you thought how you wish to proceed? You have -- we've got procedural motions, KBR's 12 13 motion for leave regarding depositions of persons with knowledge, the plaintiffs' motion for a continuance as to the 14 summary judgment, then we have six discovery motions. 01:43:32PM 15 I am sorry we are having this right on the 16 heels of the change in plaintiffs' pleadings, but I guess -- I 17 18 don't have a better solution than to just go through one by 19 one. So -- just generally, thematically, I do think this is a 01:44:15PM 20 case in which the normal limits on depositions will have to be 21 exceeded both in terms of the number of people to be deposed 22 and, at least with respect to many of the deponents, a longer 23 -- a longer timeframe than seven hours. 24 The issues that are brought up in terms of 01:44:50PM 25 where depositions take place and whether depositions could be

taken by video link, I really would have thought you could 1 have agreed on those without needing my involvement; but I 2 3 quess you haven't. Okay. If nobody has a better order of 4 worship, I will just start with the two procedural motions and we will proceed from there. 5 01:45:17PM KBR, defendant's motion for leave regarding 6 7 depositions, of course, we acknowledge. Do you want to speak 8 to that? I mean, I think I understand your papers; I think I understand what you are saying. 01:45:33PM 10 MR. DONLEY: Yep. Thank you, Your Honor. motion is very simple. Two points we made in the motion, 11 12 really on two issues we are asking for. One is, Your Honor, 13 we understand presently that the discovery of deadline just 14 ran on September 15th. 01:45:47PM 15 THE COURT: That will be extended. 16 MR. DONLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. With that being 17 extended then, the other point in our motion is, and I think 18 you may have just resolved it, we are asking for more than ten 19 depositions. 01:45:58PM 20 THE COURT: You are entitled to that. 21 MR. DONLEY: Okay. Thank Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Do you know how many more? 23 MR DONLEY: Well, right now, they have -- plaintiffs have listed 13 persons that they would call as witnesses. 24 We 01:46:09PM 25 understand it. So we need those plus the plaintiffs'

depositions. So that's about another 26, I believe. So 1 that's 39 in total. In addition to that, Your Honor, they 3 have 238 persons, or groups, listed with knowledge or relevant facts. I'm not sure yet how to narrow that down. We are 4 going to have to narrow that down somehow, but my point being 5 01:46:30PM that there may be additional depositions beyond those which I 6 7 can currently imagine as a result of that. 8 THE COURT: Do the defendants want to speak to that? 9 MS. FRYSZMAN: Your Honor, we have no objection to 01:46:53PM 10 exceeding the ten. Our position -- the position that we had 11 arrived at is that they said that discovery had run. We 12 hadn't noticed any, so we are entitled to zero and they were entitled to --13 14 THE COURT: No. Hopefully you are to entitled to 01:47:05PM 15 more. 16 MS. FRYSZMAN: Okay. We were just trying to reach an equitable result so that we would both be entitled to 17 18 more --19 THE COURT: You are both entitled to more and I 01:47:13PM 20 think, at least when those witnesses who need translation are 21 testifying, you are entitled to more than seven hours. 22 don't know if it makes sense for me to try to derive a number 23 as to either right now. I really don't know how many 24 depositions will be needed. And I don't know the hours that 01:47:40PM 25 will be needed for each. I mean, y'all haven't done very well

```
about agreeing. I would, in most cases, just say take the
          1
          2
             depositions you need; and if you reach loggerheads, come back
          3
                     I know that hasn't worked very well in this case.
             without knowing more about the people you have designated as
          4
             persons with knowledge, I don't know how I can pretermit
          5
01:48:05PM
          6
             defendants' --
          7
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
                                      I just --
          8
                       THE COURT: -- rights to talk to them.
          9
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
                                      Speak to that. Defendants asked us
01:48:12PM 10
             an interrogatory for the names of all persons with knowledge
             of the kidnapping of the deceased victims and the posting on
         11
         12
             the Internet.
                            So...
         13
                       THE COURT: So, okay. I'd have to --
         14
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: So we answered that interrogatory in
01:48:24PM 15
             full with everyone that we believe had knowledge about it. I
         16
             think it is actually not relevant to the claims in the case,
             but we answered it in full. I don't see a real need for any
         17
             of us -- 238 people who need to be deposed, and many of them
         18
         19
             are people who work at KBR, in fact.
01:48:41PM 20
                       THE COURT: How about those -- I mean, in addition
         21
             to the plaintiffs, and how about -- and their family
         22
             members -- the additional 13 individuals that Mr. Donley
         23
             referred to?
         24
                                      (No audible response.)
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
01:48:54PM 25
                       THE COURT: I understood him to say 13 plus, plus
```

```
1
             with the plaintiffs --
                       MR. DONLEY: That's correct, Your Honor.
          2
          3
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: And KBR has designated 26 under --
             those are our Rule 26 designated so far. KBR has designated
          4
          5
             26 people under Rule 26 and an additional 40 something --
          6
                       THE COURT REPORTER: She is talking too fast.
          7
                       THE COURT: Okay, yeah.
          8
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
                                      I'm sorry.
          9
                            KBR has designated 26 people under Rule 26.
                                                                         An
         10
             additional 47 have been identified by KBR as persons with
         11
             knowledge of incidents in the complaint. So we just want
         12
             proportionality. If there --
         13
                       THE COURT: I'm going to give each of you 30 for
             now. You can come back and talk to me if you want more.
         14
                                                                       I am
             hoping that it is the kind of case where, after you have
01:49:32PM 15
         16
             deposed a few people, you will see a pattern and won't need to
             depose everyone. But you are a lot closer to the facts than I
         17
         18
             am.
         19
                                      Thank you, Your Honor.
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
01:49:47PM 20
                       THE COURT: In terms of how much longer, why don't
         21
             we use the rule of thumb that, instead of 7 hours when
         22
             translation is involved, it will be 10 hours. And it will be
         23
             the deponent's choice, deponent and counsel's choice as to
         24
             whether that's all on one day or should be spread over
01:50:20PM 25
             two days.
```

MS. FRYSZMAN: Will that 10 hours, Your Honor, apply 1 2 to Mr. Gurung? He has been deposed for nine and a half 3 already. 4 THE COURT: No. I was going to take him up 5 separately, but we can turn to that now. What reasonable 01:50:29PM 6 limit would you place on his testimony? 7 MS. FRYSZMAN: Ms. McOwen was going to -- do that. 8 THE COURT: What, what -- yeah. 9 MS. McOWEN: Your Honor, the reasonable limit that 01:50:49PM 10 we would propose is the nine and a half hours that have 11 already been taken. Mr. Tayback, counsel for Daoud, conducted a very effective and efficient deposition over two days in Los 12 13 Angeles where Daoud's counsel are based. He covered all the 14 important subject matter of the lawsuit. And our position is that they've had a full and fair opportunity to depose 01:51:10PM 15 16 Mr. Gurung. 17 Approximately 3 hours of that time was spent on 18 translation. We believe that that is a fair length of time 19 for Mr. Gurung. It would really work a hardship on him to 01:51:29PM 20 have to be deposed again, particularly if it is across the 21 country. He's a low wage worker at a gas station in New 22 Jersey. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, why was he deposed? Was it just 24 an accommodation to defendant's counsel? I mean, Los Angeles, I would have thought was a very unlikely place. I mean, the 01:51:44PM 25

```
1
             case is pending here. He lives in New York.
          2
                       MS. McOWEN: Both plaintiffs and counsel for Daoud
          3
             have lawyers located in Los Angeles, and so it was a
             compromise. Paul Hoffman, who is counsel for the plaintiffs,
          4
          5
             is located in LA, as well as the Quinn Emanuel, attorneys for
01:52:02PM
          6
             Daoud & Partners.
          7
                       THE COURT: Anything you want to say about that?
          8
                       MR. SARLES: A couple of things, Your Honor. First,
             prior to the deposition, we weren't even told that Mr. Gurung
01:52:21PM 10
             was in New York. We believed he was coming from Nepal and so
             Los Angeles seemed like a logical location. I agreed to that.
         11
             In terms of continuation, we are willing to travel to New York
         12
         13
             if that's necessary, but we don't think nine and a half hours
             is a reasonable limit. Your Honor suggested ten and Mrs. -- I
         14
             think the person that everybody would agree is the most
01:52:39PM 15
             important --
         16
         17
                       THE COURT: Yeah, I agree. I think special rules
         18
             probably apply to him. How long --
         19
                       MR. SARLES: We've requested three additional hours,
01:52:47PM 20
             I believe. KBR has requested an additional seven, so I will
         21
             let them speak to that.
         22
                       THE COURT: Is that concurrent or consecutive?
                       MR. SARLES: Consecutive.
         23
         24
                       THE COURT: Ten more hours?
01:52:57PM 25
                       MR. SARLES: An additional ten. But, speaking for
```

1 Daoud, we have requested three on our own behalf. That would 2 be -- the other items requested in our motion are, of course, 3 the document production issues that we have raised. 4 One of them is the asylum documents, which I think we have set forth in our papers the reasons those should 5 01:53:15PM 6 be produced before his deposition continues. And, also, the 7 speech he gave at the U.N. There was testimony in his 8 deposition that he handwrote a copy of that speech and we 9 still don't have that, a copy -- and it's a speech purely 01:53:33PM 10 about the facts of this case. And that is a document we 11 believe we're entitled to before we depose him any further. 12 THE COURT: Do you want to speak further to that? 13 MS. McOWEN: Yes. Your Honor, this is one of the 14 reasons why we don't believe that further deposition of 01:53:48PM 15 Mr. Gurung is necessary, that the subject that both KBR and 16 Daoud would have identified as subjects for further deposition 17 are not proper subjects of discovery. The issue that 18 Mr. Sarles raised with respect to the U.N. documents, they 19 framed as of the spoliation issue; but it is clearly not a 01:54:14PM 20 spoliation question. Mr. Gurung produced the final uttered 21 version of his speech, which he delivered before the United 22 Nations in October 2009. There's a video of that speech which 23 has been produced to the defendants. He's also now produced

the final Nepali script that he read out loud that day.

the deposition, Mr. Gurung indicated that he had taken some

24

01:54:39PM 25

1 handwritten notes on the speech that were then typed up into 2 the final version that he read out loud. There is plenty of 3 authority, Your Honor, that when notes like that are then transcribed or typed into a final version, it is not 4 spoliation if the original notes get lost, which, in this 5 01:55:02PM 6 case, we simply don't have the original handwritten notes --7 THE COURT: Well, that's a different issue. So you 8 say they just don't exist. So it's not a whole lot of use 9 arguing about what to do with them. They don't exist at all. 10 MS. McOWEN: That's right, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. MS. McOWEN: Except for the final version which has 12 13 been provided. 14 THE COURT: What was the other topic you wanted to 01:55:25PM 15 go into? 16 MS. McOWEN: The asylum question, which we believe 17 is simply beyond the bounds of discovery, it is an important 18 public policy that this Circuit has recognized, that every 19 circuit I know of has recognized. It is an issue that goes 01:55:37PM 20 far beyond just Mr. Gurung. It has a potential of having a 21 devastating, chilling effect and the last thing is with meritorious claims. 22 23 THE COURT: Mr. Sarles, just one second, please. 24 Okay. 01:56:06PM 25 The first thing I would like to say is MR. SARLES:

those are not the only two topics that we have requested 1 additional examination on. The deposition concluded sort of 3 just at the beginning of discussing with Mr. Gurung his actual work and life at the al-Assad Base, so I based -- and that 4 includes issues such as his time sheets which he signed and 5 01:56:23PM filled out on a daily basis. None of those were able -- able 6 7 to be put before him in the time that we had. 8 THE COURT: What did y'all talk about so long? When -- what kept y'all busy? 01:56:36PM 10 MR. SARLES: We talked about his journey from Nepal 11 to Iraq and his work there and his travel back and his current life in New York, which was, you know, a surprise to us. 12 You 13 know, we submitted the entire transcript to the Court. I 14 think we just heard plaintiff counsel say that Mr. Tayback took an efficient examination. I don't think there's any 01:56:55PM 15 16 dispute about that, but there was not time wasted. There's a lot of ground to cover with this witness. 17 18 And plaintiffs in their papers say there was 19 time wasted on little details like access to restrooms and 01:57:09PM 20 where food was eaten. But those are the kind of details that 21 matter when Mr. Gurung is claiming he was held against his 22 will and held in horrid conditions. The little things that he 23 did every day mattered, the trip to Subway with KBR witnesses. 24 Those are the kind of little things that matter --25 THE COURT: Slow down, slow down.

I apologize. MR. SARLES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

01:57:37PM

01:57:54PM 10

01:58:18PM 15

01:58:38PM 20

In any event though, what are the additional issues related to his time at the al-Assad Base including medical care, including the other individuals that he arrived with? Some of them left before him. Some of them stayed long after him. And we haven't gotten to examine Mr. Gurung about those individuals and why he believes they were being held against their will.

Some left earlier, some left later, some signed additional extensions with Daoud. Those are important topics of examination. In addition on the asylum issue, none of the cases that plaintiffs cited deal with the situation where the facts underlying the asylum petition overlap with the facts of this case. They are asserting emotional distress damages to the present day; and in that proceeding, Mr. Gurung blamed third parties, a terrorist group in Nepal, for pressures against his life and safety in Nepal during the same time period that he is claiming that those harms are the cause -or were caused by our client. So he put it in issue in a way that none of the plaintiffs in the cases -- or that plaintiff cite have put it at issue. Moreover, we have got a protective order in this case. They are free to designate any of that information to keep it confidential. And it simply would not be fair to -- it is a classic sword and shield situation for 01:58:56PM 25 Mr. Gurung to claim damages for issues that he had blamed

Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157

other parties for in another proceeding and then shield that 1 discovery where he blames the other parties. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. I will allow an additional seven hours of his deposition. The defendants can divide it 4 up between themselves however they wish. It is seven total. 5 I do not want any discussion of asylum. I do not want any 6 discussion about notes that may have been spoliated. We will deal with both those issues later when we understand the case 9 better. 01:59:37PM 10 I do think -- he is clearly the most important person in the case. And I think the rules need to be 11 stretched to accommodate that fact. 12 It will be his choice and his counsels where 13 14 to -- where to hold the deposition. And I will even -- if it

will help them, I will even authorize the deposition to be held on a weekend or a holiday so he misses the minimum possible amount of time from his job.

Now in terms of -- well, okay. Let's turn next to plaintiffs' motion for a continuance under 56(d). I do think it is appropriate to give the plaintiff some additional time. But we haven't got a response from KBR about what they think would be a reasonable amount of time other than I suspect they oppose the continuance. How long do the plaintiffs think this will require?

MS. FRYSZMAN: I think, Your Honor, it depends on if

02:00:02PM 15

02:00:42PM 20

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

01:59:17PM

we can get a 502(d) stipulation ordered so that we could 1 obtain the documents from KBR-Halliburton in electronic form 3 and review them in our offices. And I think that would speed things along considerably and make this go quicker. Without 4 that, I don't know how we are going get past the roadblock 5 02:01:44PM 6 that we are on on discovery where --7 THE COURT: KBR, you know, takes strong exception to 8 the suggestion they have dragged their feet on this. They think they've made the searches asked of them and used the... 02:02:01PM 10 in terms that were asked. 11 MS. FRYSZMAN: I guess I wanted to make super clear, because we don't actually have those documents. They have run 12 the searches and told us the numbers of documents. So they 13 14 have run the search and said there are 874 documents that combine the words trafficking, enslavement... We don't 02:02:13PM 15 actually have that document and we have never seen it, and 16 17 that's where we are stuck. They have run the searches, told 18 us the numbers, but we've never seen the actual document at 19 all. We have had no more documents from KBR than at the last 02:02:30PM 20 hearing that we had when we were here and we started talking 21 about electronic discovery. They haven't searched and 22 produced the documents. They have just told us the numbers of 23 documents that have been responsive to our searches --24 THE COURT: And what's their response to your

inquiry about when you can see them?

02:02:42PM 25

MS. FRYSZMAN: They said we could come to their 1 2 offices, review the notes, secure terminals, not take any 3 notes, be observed by an observer and that they may would consider producing them but there was no quarantee that we 4 would get them. And there's no time period at which, you 5 02:02:56PM 6 know, we would be definitively done. As at the terminals, we 7 would have to review them one by one. And that's -- we're 8 trying to think of a better way than that. It is really old 9 school. Like, the modern way to do it, and the way it has 10 been described in the Federal Rules of Evidence and the 11 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, is through a 502(d) 12 stipulation. The 50 --13 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from 14 KBR. 02:03:25PM 15 MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, there have been some 16 serious misrepresentations made to this Court in the documents that have been filed regarding this issue. What I brought 17 18 with me today, Your Honor, and it is in the file, but it's 19 worth me going through these again. May I approach, Your 02:03:47PM 20 Honor? 21 THE COURT: If you have something to give to me, 22 give it to Ms. Loewe if you would -- or will. 23 MR. DONLEY: Thank you. Your Honor, the plaintiffs' 24 counsel in the motion for continuance, as well as the 56(d) 02:04:03PM 25 declaration, has stated that KBR has not been running various

search terms -- that we haven't run search terms, such as 1 2 Daoud. Nothing could be farther from the truth, Your Honor. 3 When I saw their documents and I saw it sworn to this Court that we had not run --4 THE COURT: I will give you equal time. I will give 5 02:04:19PM 6 you equal time. 7 MR. DONLEY: -- that we had not run these search 8 terms, I was beside myself. What I have just handed the Court, Your Honor, it is in the documents that have been 02:04:29PM 10 filed, is an October 21, 2010 letter from Mr. Matt Handley with Cohen Milstein to Mr. Mike Mengis. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Yes. 13 MR. DONLEY: If you turn over to the second page, 14 Your Honor, that second page begins the search terms that were searched that are relevant to this letter from Mr. Handley. 02:04:43PM 15 16 Those search terms you will see at the top. The first search 17 terms under KBR search terms is Daoud. Next is Daoud & 18 Partners. And then the variations that were run, DNP, DP, 19 D & P. All the way down, Your Honor, there's 30-40, 50,000 02:05:06PM 20 hits. You will see the hits on the right-hand column. These 21 search terms were run. They were provided to Cohen Milstein 22 by October 21, 2010. 23 THE COURT: With the underlying documents? 24 MR. DONLEY: Not with the underlying documents. 02:05:20pm 25 | Here's where we were at the time, Your Honor. The parties had

agreed upon these search terms. These search terms had been 1 run. We then provided -- and I thought I heard Ms. Fryszman 3 just say we had not provided them with the number of hits either. This document shows we --4 THE COURT: I think she said you did, you did 5 02:05:31PM 6 provide that. 7 MR. DONLEY: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 8 at this point -- with this document, we had run these search 9 terms. We had gotten this number of hits in the right-hand 02:05:44PM 10 column of this document; for instance, Daoud, the number of hits, 7,214 and then on down the list. 11 Cohen Milstein then took this entire list of 12 search terms, which is five or six pages long, and as 13 Mr. Handley's letter shows us, Cohen Milstein then highlighted 14 those search terms which they wanted KBR to review the 02:06:08PM 15 documentation and determine what was to be produced with 16 17 regard to those search terms. 18 What's most relevant here, Your Honor, is that 19 all the search terms for Daoud, Daoud & Partner, and 02:06:24PM 20 plaintiffs' proposed variance of those, were not highlighted. 21 The terms were run as of October of 2010, Your Honor. 22 results were provided to Cohen Milstein and they decided at 23 that time they did not want us to review the documents, us 24 being KBR. 02:06:42PM 25 What they filed with you, Your Honor, in the

motion for continuance, and they say it over and over and over 1 2 again, KBR hasn't done all these things with regard to 3 electronic discovery. We, indeed, have, Your Honor. 4 And then you will recall, Your Honor, earlier this year we came in on a motion for protection. 5 02:06:57PM 6 filed by KBR. We said at that time, we said we think we have 7 done enough. Your Honor told us to get with plaintiffs' 8 counsel and work through search terms. Work on this a little bit more. 02:07:10PM 10 At one point, Mr. Lowes was here. Mr. Lowes said, I will talk to plaintiffs' counsel and see if I can 11 12 help. Over the course of the summer, Your Honor, plaintiffs' 13 counsel provided us with search terms. KBR ran those. Wе have provided those hits, the number of documents returned for 14 any given search terms, back to plaintiffs' counsel. 02:07:28PM 15 16 Some additional searches that were run, Your Honor -- if I may, I have another document, please. 17 18 I'm going to continue on here, Your Honor, with 19 the Daoud and Daoud-related search terms. The document I just 02:07:54PM 20 handed you is -- most of it, it is already part of the record. 21 I'll clean this one up a little bit so you can see the actual 22 terms and hits. The top box shows us those search terms that were run on October 21 that we just discussed that Daoud 23 24 said -- plaintiff said they did not want us to review and 02:08:11PM 25 consider producing any documents.

The next set is March 23, 2010, that's why the 1 date is there. We ran all of these Daoud-related search 2 3 terms. Again, you can see the hits in the right-hand column. They go on for pages, Your Honor, with all the various and 4 number of hits that are returned for Daoud. 5 02:08:27PM 6 When you get over to page 6, May 25th, we ran 7 another set of search terms for plaintiffs with regard to 8 Daoud and things related to Daoud. Ultimately, Your Honor, when you get to the back of this, all of these hits for Daoud 02:08:45PM 10 that plaintiffs' counsel has sworn in a declaration, has stated in a motion for continuance KBR did not run, returned a 11 12 total of 546,282 hits. It is the number on page 8 at the 13 bottom. 14 Your Honor, they have come in here and told you a story about why they need a continuance. Most of that story 02:09:02PM 15 16 is, well, KBR hasn't done what it should have done with regard 17 to electronic discovery and search terms and we haven't run 18 things. We did, Your Honor. And we have done it, and we have 19 done it, and we have provided it over and over again to 02:09:18PM 20 plaintiffs' counsel. 21 I have got two more documents, Your Honor, I 22 would like to hand you on other search terms that the declaration and the motion said we haven't ran. 23 24 These search terms, Your Honor, that plaintiffs 02:09:43PM 25 say we haven't run up to this point relate to human

trafficking, coercion, deception, passport confiscation and 1 2 labor abuse. 3 Let's start with the one that is human trafficking, Your Honor. You can see on October 21 that we 4 5 provided to Mr. Handley the letter we saw a few moments ago. 02:09:59PM 6 THE COURT: Slowly now. 7 MR. DONLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. We ran human 8 trafficking in all kinds of ways and things related to human 9 trafficking. 02:10:11PM 10 March 23, 2012, we did again. March 25, 2012, on page 3, plaintiffs' counsel had given us additional search 11 12 We ran those. If you turn to page 4, Your Honor, terms. 13 there is a total again. These hits on things related to human 14 trafficking returned 538,445 hits, which means documents, not pages, Your Honor, documents. And as you might have recalled, 02:10:30PM 15 16 KBR has stated before that we think our best guess is that 17 each document is going to be roughly 7 pages. 18 THE COURT: 7 pages, yeah. 19 MR. DONLEY: The next document I have handed the 02:10:46PM 20 Court for terms that the declaration and the motion for 21 continuance says KBR hasn't run is for coercion, deception, 22 passport confiscation and labor abuse. 23 October 21, we ran terms. March 23, again, 24 after receiving them from plaintiffs' counsel, we ran terms. 02:11:03PM 25 Those go on for a number of pages, all the way

over to page 6 where we begin with May 25, 2012. We, again, 1 ran terms related to those issues. And then on page 8, again, 3 we have the total for all the hits we have run. We have provided all of these to plaintiffs' counsel. Most of these 4 terms were terms they gave us, 756,687 hits or documents, Your 5 02:11:21PM 6 Honor. 7 Now I'm not sure how to take it with 8 plaintiffs' counsel coming into this Court providing a motion for continuance and declaration saying KBR has not done any 02:11:36PM 10 searches of any of these terms when most of the terms I just went through came from plaintiffs' counsel and they certainly 11 participated in preparing these. They have used these things, 12 13 Your Honor, to try to convince you to give them an extension. 14 THE COURT: How about the underlying documents? The underlying documents, here's how we 02:11:50PM 15 MR. DONLEY: 16 handle those, Your Honor. We ran all of these searches. Wе 17 kept getting letters from plaintiffs' counsel. These are 18 tests, these are test searches, these are test searches. 19 don't know where to go any longer. We finally said, here's 02:12:02PM 20 what we will do. So far all of these test searches we have 21 run for you returned 2.2 million pages, about 250,000 22 documents, if you get through all of these. 23 We told them what we were willing to do was to put those on a platform available for their review at Baker 24 Hostetler's Offices in Washington, D.C. so that then they 02:12:23PM 25

could review them, decide what they thought was relevant --1 most of these documents, Your Honor, are not going to be 3 relevant; they can't be. 2.2 million pieces of paper I don't think are going to be relevant. They could decide what they 4 think is relevant. We could then -- we'd review those with 5 02:12:36PM 6 plaintiffs' counsel. If we agreed, they would be produced. 7 If we had a dispute over what we thought was relevant, we 8 would provide that to the Court in a motion and let the Court decide whether or not the documents should be produced. 02:12:49PM 10 We thought that was a very appropriate way to 11 try to tackle this problem considering the vast number of search terms we were asked to review and the enormous number 12 13 of hits that were being produced as a result of those search 14 terms. Now one thing Your Honor had asked KBR to do was to help plaintiffs' counsel with trying to narrow search terms. 02:13:11PM 15 16 Without plaintiffs' counsel being able to tell us they had any 17 case, that was difficult for us to do, but we tried. We have 18 sent a letter and expressed to them, here are things you might 19 consider in trying to narrow your search terms so that you can 02:13:30PM 20 come up with a more competent number of documents for review, 21 something smaller. That didn't seem to phase plaintiffs' 22 counsel. 23 As you will recall, Mr. Lowes was here for a hearing. He said he would talk to plaintiffs' counsel. We 24 all got on the phone with plaintiffs' counsel. In fact, it 02:13:44PM 25

was myself, Mr. Mengis, Mr. Graves was there, Mr. Lowes, IT 1 personnel from KBR were all on the phone at our end. On the 3 phone at the other end, I believe, was Ms. Fryszman and they had a lawyer who was a specialist in electronic discovery on 4 their side. We talked maybe 45 minutes, hour and a half, I 5 02:14:00PM 6 don't recall. It was long enough to make sure we covered all 7 the plaintiffs' counsel's issues, concerns and questions. 8 So we have done everything we now can and that we know to do from KBR's perspective to work with them. 02:14:18PM 10 Regardless, they still come into this Court and try to 11 claim -- in fact, they don't try to claim, they did claim. They claimed that KBR has not done any of these things with 12 13 regard to electronic discovery. 14 Your question though was, Judge, what then did 02:14:31PM 15 KBR decide to do with all these documents. We told plaintiffs' counsel, we will just give them to you. We are 16 17 glad to let you review them. One of the first questions that 18 came back was, well, what we would like to have available to 19 us is software that would allow us to do word searches and 02:14:45PM 20 things through these documents. 21 I believe Mr. Mengis and I might have been on 22 that call. I know I was on that call. And our response was, 23 well, that sounds reasonable. We will see if we can come up with something so that you can accomplish that task. Do you 24 02:14:58PM 25 have a software or something you would like for us to consider

to see if we can get that set and established on this portal? 1 2 We have never heard back, Your Honor, on that 3 Instead, what we ultimately were told from plaintiffs' counsel, it would be funny if it wasn't for the amount of 4 money KBR has spent in this case. Well, what we were told is, 5 02:15:17PM reviewing all these documents would be too expensive. 6 7 two words are a quote. Ms. Fryszman told us to review all 8 these documents would be too expensive. Despite that, she still would like to come to this Court and claim KBR has done 02:15:32PM 10 nothing and make KBR do a lot more yet, Your Honor. That seems unfair and it's certainly, right now, the information 11 before this Court is on the record that it is inaccurate and 12 13 it is misleading by plaintiffs. 14 Where it has been left, Your Honor, is here: 02:15:46PM 15 The last communication was from us to plaintiffs' counsel: 16 What do you want to do with these documents? We haven't heard 17 back from them. My understanding was is that they were going 18 to get a plan back to us with regard to these electronic 19 documents, and we have not received one to this day despite 02:16:01PM 20 the fact that we have now filed a motion for summary judgment. 21 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you very much. 22 Is it McGowen or McOwen? I'm sorry. 23 MS. McOWEN: McOwen. 24 I apologize. THE COURT: McOwen. 02:16:17PM 25 MS. McOWEN: No problem, Your Honor.

MS. FRYSZMAN: Your Honor, with regard to the 1 2 Matthew Handley letter, we proposed certain terms from the 3 initial run as an initial production that we asked KBR to produce within two weeks because they had said some of the 4 5 terms generated too many hits. And I quote, Mr. Handley said: 02:16:31PM 6 Although we agree that certain search terms --7 THE COURT: Well, although we agree certain search 8 terms --9 MS. FRYSZMAN: -- that certain search terms will now 02:16:43PM 10 need to be revised to reduce the number of hits, many of the search terms used -- and then he goes on to say, a group of 11 12 them are now -- produced right away. We selected some that 13 had low numbers of hits, like 100 hits or 3 or 64, and perhaps 14 that wasn't the best way to proceed, but that's what we did. And then those were the hits that were produced. We weren't 02:17:01PM 15 16 actually thinking at the time that those are probably the 17 words that weren't used in very many documents and, therefore, 18 were not very useful as search terms. 19 That's part of the reason for the low hits, but 02:17:14PM 20 that was never intended to be the entirety of the document 21 production because, for example, we didn't select the term 22 Daoud; and if we had thought that that would be the end of the 23 documents that we would ever get from KBR, we would have 24 selected terms that included the other defendant in the case. 02:17:26PM 25 THE COURT: Well, he says he is waiting on you.

says he's waiting on you. 1 2 MS. FRYSZMAN: On July 24th, and I have -- I can 3 print this out -- I have on my Blackberry a copy of the message I sent to KBR attaching a proposed 502(d) stipulation 4 to Mr. Mengis, and I have never heard back from them on this, 5 02:17:39PM 6 my proposal to the 502(d) stipulation. I -- I mean, I could 7 e-mail it to Ms. Loewe or you could print it out and look at 8 it, but I --9 THE COURT: How am I supposed to resolve these 02:17:50PM 10 issues when the two sides disagree so radically about what the 11 facts are? I just --12 MR. MENGIS: Let me correct the record. I responded 13 to Ms. Fryszman. It may have been orally, but I told her we 14 were not going to do a 502(d) and we were going to go back to the proposal that we had made before. That is just simply not 02:18:03PM 15 16 accurate. 17 THE COURT: I don't know what to do. 18 MS. FRYSZMAN: I think the bottom line is that I 19 think Mr. Donley's presentation confirms what I have been 02:18:15PM 20 saying, which is that they have given us the numbers of hits 21 but we don't have the underlying documents. We have been trying all summer to come up with iterations of key words 22 23 working on our own that would be targeted and effective 24 working by ourselves, not with, you know --02:18:29PM 25 THE COURT: Why did that take all summer? I've

never seen anything take all summer. 1 MS. FRYSZMAN: It's harder than it seems. 2 It's 3 difficult because -- because, for example, we did tests of things that were like near 20 or near 30 and then all we get 4 5 is the numbers. We don't have the documents to compare to see 02:18:43PM 6 what is effective and what's not. We get a response back 7 that, you know, where we tested Sanjay and that made the 8 numbers go way up, so we realized that Sanjay --9 THE COURT: No, no, no, too fast. 10 MS. FRYSZMAN: Sorry. 11 THE COURT: Start that sentence over again. 12 MS. FRYSZMAN: We would test terms to see what 13 terms, like the slang terms for third country nationals. Some 14 of them it turned out were also names, so they drove the numbers up. So we would run it again without that to try to 02:19:04PM 15 16 determine. But without seeing the documents, it is very hard 17 to go through this process because essentially you are doing 18 it completely in the dark. 19 THE COURT: And are you saying you are waiting on 02:19:15PM 20 KBR while KBR says it is waiting on you? Is that where we 21 are? 22 MS. FRYSZMAN: I quess we are at an impasse over 23 whether the documents should be produced pursuant to a 502(d) 24 stipulation of the federal rules of evidence provided or 02:19:26PM 25 whether we will need to go there and review them one by one on

```
a secure terminal and not be permitted to take --
          1
          2
                       THE COURT REPORTER: Slow down, please.
          3
                       MS. FRYSZMAN:
                                      I'm so sorry.
          4
                            Or whether we will have to go to Baker
             Hostetler's office and review the documents one by one on a
          5
02:19:37PM
          6
             screen without being able to take notes. Those seem to be the
          7
             two choices on the table. But I think their iteration of the
             hits confirm that there are a lot of documents that are
          9
             responsive to our requests. And, also, I think Mr. Donley
02:19:55PM 10
             certainly confirms those documents haven't been produced. We
             have the number of hits, but not the underlying documents.
         11
         12
                       THE COURT: Does Daoud wish to be heard on this at
         13
             all?
         14
                       MR. SARLES: No, Your Honor.
                                   I'm really -- I'm really at a loss to
02:20:16PM 15
                       THE COURT:
             understand what to do when somebody -- factual predicates are
         16
         17
             the subject of such sharp debate. And it is -- it does have
         18
             real world consequence right now because I can't otherwise
         19
             decide what's fair in terms of the summary judgment deadline
02:20:49PM 20
             continuance. I know I haven't gotten anything in writing from
         21
             KBR yet. Is there a period of time KBR could live with as a
         22
             continuance?
         23
                       MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, may I?
         24
                       THE COURT: Yes, sir.
02:21:04PM 25
                       MR. DONLEY: Here is why KBR is struggling, Your
```

02:21:34PM 10

02:21:49PM 15

02:22:06РМ 20

02:22:22PM **25**

Honor. Now, on at least two, if not three, occasions, including the last time we were here, even the Court has asked plaintiffs, please tell us what is the link between the allegations --

THE COURT: Yeah, I agree with you.

MR. DONLEY: They have had nothing, your Honor. It goes a little bit further. This is the other reason why I am so bothered by their motion for a continuance. The first hearing that we attended this year on KBR's motion for protection, when you asked, what is the connection,

Ms. Fryszman said, I am going to have a strong case and she said this. She said it is not going to come from my client's documents; it is going to come from my plaintiffs and eyewitnesses who we believe.

eyewitnesses, why does KBR have to be put through all this burden? Why can't they tell the Court now, especially in a 56(d) declaration, what is it they think they have, because I think that's what the rule requires, and what is it they think these additional documents are going to prove? They don't say that in any of the papers that they have filed. Instead, all they did is sling mud at KBR claiming we have done nothing with regard to any of this electronic discovery trying to get the Court focused on, well, KBR must not be playing fair, when we have been trying to play fair all along. Your Honor, may I

```
grab one document real quick?
          1
          2
                       THE COURT: Yes, sir.
          3
                                    Instead of going back to their motion
                       MR. DONLEY:
             for continuance --
          4
          5
                       THE COURT: Slowly, slowly.
                       MR. DONLEY: -- in the 56(d) declaration, while I
          6
          7
             was sitting there, Judge, I grabbed plaintiffs' discovery
          8
             status report, which was filed yesterday. First paragraph,
             third to the last line -- fourth to the last line. For
02:22:49PM 10
             example, although KBR's relationship with Daoud is key -- is a
             key issue in this case, none of the search terms have included
         11
         12
             Daoud or the names of any Daoud employees.
         13
                            Judge, something else must be going on here.
             have shown to the Court in the papers we have filed and again
         14
             this afternoon that we did run Daoud. We have provided the
02:23:09PM 15
         16
             results to that. The first go around --
         17
                       THE COURT: I will give you time. I will give you
         18
             time.
         19
                       MR. DONLEY: The first go around, they could have
02:23:19PM 20 highlighted those Daoud returns, those hits, and we would have
         21
             reviewed those documents and produced those which were
         22
             relevant. Well, now they are trying to use all of this to
         23
             say, well, it is all KBR's fault, Your Honor, when it is not.
             We have done all we know to do and there's -- you can always
         24
02:23:33PM 25
             do more. I understand. But we know all -- we've done all we
```

reasonably know to do to try to work through this process. 1 That's where we ended up finally just saying, look, if you 3 really want these documents we'll put them on a portal, reach a reasonable agreement with you, and let you review them that 4 way. But none of these, from our perspective, is a reason to 5 02:23:50PM 6 continue plaintiffs' response to the motion for summary 7 judgment. They have told the Court, our evidence is coming 8 from plaintiffs and eyewitnesses. Where are they, Judge? We are still looking for them. I can quote Ms. Fryszman. 02:24:09PM 10 got the transcript over -- that's exactly what she has told this Court. She also told the Court -- you asked. You said 11 12 KBR keeps saying you don't have anything to support your case, 13 Ms. Fryszman, what does KBR do to protect itself? Her response was, file a motion for summary judgement. 14 02:24:26PM 15 We have Your Honor. And we filed it not only based upon the written discovery responses we have gotten from 16 17 plaintiffs today, but also the testimony of Buddi Gurung. has now been deposed. It is not complete. But he's told us 18 19 two significant things with regard to KBR. He was asked, what 02:24:44PM 20 are your complaints about the conditions once you got to the 21 base? With regard to KBR, he had two. He said, I told 22 Donovan, a KBR worker, I want to go back to Nepal. What did 23 Donovan tell you? He said Donovan told me, I'm just a 24 warehouse worker like you, Buddi; you have to go speak with 02:25:03PM **25** someone else.

1 Now in the papers they filed with the Court in 2 the past, they said KBR told Mr. Gurung that you can't leave. 3 That's not what Mr. Gurung testified to. He said KBR said, Bill or Donovan, because they both said the same thing, Buddi, 4 we are just workers like you. You will have to go speak with 5 02:25:20PM 6 someone else. That is a far cry from anyone at KBR telling 7 Mr. Gurung, you can't leave this base. And, in fact, he has 8 got no evidence that anyone ever told him, "You can't leave this base." 02:25:35PM 10 He was, also, asked about the trip getting into the base. He was asked, "Did anyone threaten you or coerce 11 you?" He was asked about Mike. He was asked about Kaleek. 12 13 He was asked about Pushka. These are the people who allegedly 14 got him into Iraq. He said, no, no one ever threatened me. 02:25:53PM 15 No one ever told me I was going to be harmed physically. 16 Where is the case here, Your Honor? Plaintiffs 17 at some point have got to put up or shut up. They can't keep 18 saying, KBR you have got to produce more, you've got to 19 produce more, you have to produce more. They haven't even 02:26:05PM 20 made a showing in the motion they filed or the 56(d) 21 declaration that they have filed that they had anything, 22 anything at all to support a case against KBR when they filed 23 it. They are required to do that, Judge. When they have put 24 their name on that pleading, they have got to have some

evidence we have done something wrong, and they don't even

02:26:23PM 25

make that threshold showing in the motion for a continuance 1 2 that they file. I say all this to say this, Your Honor. 3 Because of those things, it is very difficult for KBR to come up and say, there's some period of time over which we are 4 willing to allow plaintiffs a continuance to file a response 5 02:26:38PM 6 to our motion for summary judgment. I understand, Your Honor, 7 and I appreciate you would like for us to be able to come up 8 with something on that, but I would also ask the Court to please appreciate the position we are in -- and we are looking 02:26:53PM 10 at the totality of the circumstances. With what we know, we now have deposed the live plaintiff who made it into al-Assad. 11 12 THE COURT: I understand what your position is. 13 MR. DONLEY: And so that's why we are having a 14 difficult time. If they needed a few weeks to respond to the motion for summary judgment, we can work through that, Judge. 02:27:09PM 15 16 I think in a document we have filed we have already offered 10 days to respond to it. But we need a substantive response 17 18 to the summary judgment. We need to know what these 19 plaintiffs think they have against KBR because, so far, with 02:27:22PM 20 everything they've filed with this Court all the way up to 21 this moment, they haven't even made a threshold showing that 22 they had anything to support their claims when they filed this 23 case. 24 I understand. Ms. Fryszman. THE COURT: Okay. 02:27:41PM 25 MS. FRYSZMAN: Just to stay on the issue that is

before us, I just want to reiterate again when we said they 1 hadn't searched for the documents that include the term Daoud, 3 we meant with search and produce. We still don't have any of those documents that include that term. 4 THE COURT: Now, are they waiting on you for 5 02:27:55PM 6 something? 7 We can give them a final litany. No. MS. FRYSZMAN: 8 We are prepared to narrow down those searches we did over the summer and try to prepare a final list of terms that we think 02:28:05PM 10 would work if we could get those pursuant to a 502(d) stipulation. And I think that is the solution that is in the 11 federal rules of evidence. The case law that we cited in our 12 13 status conference report -- and others, have utilized that 14 procedure. I think that's the way that courts are going now with electronic discovery to protect the defendants with a 02:28:26PM 15 502(d) stipulation to protect against waiver and to provide 16 17 the documents in bulk to the plaintiffs. It would be easy to 18 do. It is cheap. We have already run the searches. We would 19 just move them over to a disk and produce it and they would be 02:28:42PM 20 protected pursuant to a provision that Congress enacted 21 specifically for this type of situation. 22 THE COURT: Have you made that very statement to 23 KBR? 24 I sent them a proposed 502(d) MS. FRYSZMAN: stipulation, yes, on July 24th. They have rejected it, it 02:28:55PM 25

1 appears. THE COURT: And is the sticking point the venue of 2 3 production or the nature of whether you are allowed copies as opposed to review of a screen copy? What, what -- tell me 4 5 what you think divides the parties. 02:29:09PM 6 MS. FRYSZMAN: Their proposal would require us to go 7 to their office, look at the document on a screen, not be 8 permitted to take any notes, not be permitted to take the document away with us and tag it for future review by KBR, and 02:29:27PM 10 to do it one by one for all 2 million pages. I don't know how long that will take us, but it will not get us to summary 11 12 judgment in 10 days. That's for sure. If we got the 13 documents electronically on a -- pursuant to 502(d), we could 14 then put them on our computer database, run keyword searches, review them, have them, take notes and be able to use them. 02:29:48PM 15 Under their --16 17 THE COURT: What do you understand -- what do you understand defendant's response to be? 18 19 I think they just don't want to give MS. FRYSZMAN: 02:29:58PM 20 them to us under 502(d). They just said no. They didn't 21 really provide a reason. At one point, Mr. Lowe said he 22 didn't want to do it because we were plaintiffs' lawyers and 23 that was the end of the conversation. 24 THE COURT: Do you have -- do you have a

confidentiality agreement in place?

02:30:12PM 25

1 MS. FRYSZMAN: Yes. You -- the Court entered it at 2 the beginning of the case. 3 THE COURT: I thought so, yeah. And that's not enough comfort for anybody? 4 MS. FRYSZMAN: Just comfort for us. 5 02:30:21PM 6 THE COURT: Well, you are not producing some things, 7 I mean, you are not producing provision asylum-related 8 materials. You are not producing -- well, Ms. McOwen says it doesn't exist, but drafts of the speech in question --02:30:39PM 10 MS. FRYSZMAN: It doesn't exist. Mr. Gurung took his notes to the -- to get typed up and then did not retain 11 the notes. The speech also falls outside the end of the 12 13 discovery period that we agreed on. I don't think he realized 14 or thought he was under an obligation to retain those notes. And the final speech was, in fact, preserved and they have a 02:30:54PM 15 16 copy of it. 17 THE COURT: Well, how about on the asylum issue? 18 I think that was addressed completely MS. FRYSZMAN: 19 by Ms. McOwen, but I think the case law really is unanimous 02:31:06PM 20 that the asylum documents are just strong public policy 21 that -- reason to protect those. His asylum application isn't 22 based on trafficking. And he testified fully as to the -- the acts of the Maoist. In fact, a lot of the transcript was 23 24 taken up by Mr. Tayback's questioning of the emotional distress caused by the amount of violence and how it 02:31:24PM 25

1 continued.

02:31:38PM

02:31:52PM 10

02:32:05PM 15

02:32:28PM 20

So they have the basis for the emotional damages and enough material to challenge the emotional damages on those grounds. The only reason to get this application would further be to test his testimony. But courts have held that credibility isn't the reason — I mean, we cited a number of those cases in our papers that credibility isn't a reason, but that it is always an issue. But that is not a reason to release the asylum application. And courts have also held that it is a chilling effect, not only on the plaintiff, but on their family members and other people that they may have lived with whose status may be in doubt.

THE COURT: But if there is a confidentiality order in place, wouldn't that take care of your concerns?

MS. FRYSZMAN: I suppose if we could redact it, maybe it would. There are other people whose interests are affected by the release of the asylum application, not just Mr. Gurung's. I could check -- we don't represent him in that action. I would like to have the opportunity to check with his asylum counsel, if I may.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll -- in your mind, what remains to be done before you can respond to the summary judgment motion is some kind of agreement on 502(d) or something like it?

MS. FRYSZMAN: Yes, that's right, Your Honor. Some

02:32:43PM 25

```
ability to get at the documents.
          1
          2
                       THE COURT: Was July the last conversation you had
          3
             about that then?
          4
                      MS. FRYSZMAN: I don't recall with specificity when
             exactly the last conversation was.
          5
02:32:54PM
                       THE COURT: KBR seems to think that was the last.
          6
          7
             They are nodding.
          8
                      MS. FRYSZMAN: I don't remember and whatever I say,
          9
             they will say I was wrong. I don't have a good memory for
02:33:07PM 10
             dates, but I just have to guess. I don't remember.
         11
                       THE COURT: If it has been two months, why, why do
         12
             we have that lag time?
         13
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: For one, I was in Nepal for awhile
         14
             interviewing the clients and getting the documents for most of
02:33:16PM 15
             September. I just got back on Tuesday. And so I was out.
             And...
         16
         17
                      THE COURT: You have important colleagues that could
         18 do some of this.
         19
                      MS. FRYSZMAN: Matt was with me in Nepal. We were
02:33:31PM 20 both there. I think -- I don't know. I can't explain why
         21
             there has been this delay. There just has. I guess we were
         22
             at an impasse. We got stuck. This plethora of motions got
             filed that we were a little bit under water in responding to
         23
         24
             all these motions and that's kind of where we still are.
02:33:45PM 25
                      THE COURT:
                                  Okay.
```

MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, may I very briefly? 1 2 THE COURT: Yes, yes. 3 MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, part of the problem with 4 just a simple callback agreement, as I have shown just with a few search terms that I have placed in front of the Court 5 02:33:58PM 6 today, the search terms are going to return documents well 7 beyond and that have nothing to do with anything in this case. 8 And so if there's just a simple callback, they would see all kinds of documents that have nothing to do with this case --10 not that they are just privileged, but just nothing to do with 11 anything relevant to this case. And that's why we are trying to have a more reasonable approach to align, if you want --12 13 you want to ask for all these search terms, you want all these documents, we are glad to give them to you, but we have to 14 have some other reasonable mechanism by which we can produce 02:34:28PM 15 That's why we can't do -- you know, it would be 16 17 inappropriate just to have some clawback arrangement. 18 MR. SARLES: May I say one thing? 19 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 02:34:40PM 20 MR. SARLES: There was a few comments about the 21 asylum documents and the U.N. speech documents and I believe I 22 heard Ms. Fryszman say that Mr. Gurung didn't preserve the 23 draft of the speech that he wrote and then gave to plaintiffs' 24 counsel who, quote, as Mr. Gurung testified, corrected it, 02:34:58PM 25 that Mr. Gurung didn't preserve that draft because he didn't

believe he was under an obligation to preserve due to some 1 2 agreement about the temporal scope discovery in this case. 3 And I just want to make clear there is no agreement about the temporal scope of discovery. We have not made any agreement 4 5 that plaintiffs are not obligated to preserve documents dated 02:35:17PM 6 after any date, certainly not dated after they filed the 7 lawsuit. The law is the exact opposite. They are under an 8 obligation to preserve. And I just wanted to clarify that as far as we are concerned, they were under an obligation to 02:35:34PM 10 preserve that document and all documents. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. The plaintiffs' response on the summary judgment would have been due 12 13 September 19th. We granted a 10-day extension, and so the response is now due by October 1st. Right after we did that, 14 plaintiffs filed another motion for continuance. I will give 02:35:59PM 15 16 you -- I'll give you until October 22nd, which is a Monday. 17 I wanted to turn next to the plaintiffs' Okay. Motion to Compel production of KBR's Ethics Hotline Reports. 18 19 And that's your motion. Do you want to -- do 02:36:32PM 20 you want to make the argument or are you willing to rest on 21 the papers? 22 MS. FRYSZMAN: We can rest on the papers, Your 23 Honor. 24 THE COURT: Does anybody from KBR want to speak to 02:36:40PM 25 that?

I do. Your Honor, if I may. 1 MR. DONLEY: 2 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 3 MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, our papers, we will rest on those as well, but I want to add one point. The Leamon case, 4 L-E-A-M-O-N, Judge Hittner's case, he has taken up -- Judge 5 02:36:52PM Hittner took up in that very case the very type and format of 6 7 the Ethics Hotline Report that is at issue before you, Your 8 In the Leamon case, Judge Hittner ruled that the very Honor. documents that plaintiff is seeking to obtain, documents one 02:37:15PM 10 and two, I believe they call them the Ethics Hotline Reports, as they come in from a caller, Judge Hittner has ruled those 11 12 privileged. And that was not in our papers. I have now been 13 able to talk to KBR's counsel on that case and confirm the very documents that are being requested here have already been 14 ruled in the Southern District by at least one court to be 02:37:34PM 15 16 privileged. 17 THE COURT: Well, and it may be, but the person on the receiving end was not necessarily a lawyer, was it? 18 19 MR. DONLEY: But they were working for the Legal 02:37:47PM 20 Department, Your Honor, just as if it was a legal assistant, a 21 paralegal or someone else connected to the Law Department. 22 That's how it was set up. That individual, who took the call, 23 reported directly to the Legal Department and the Legal 24 Department has been the custodian for that information ever 02:38:04PM 25 since.

Okay. All right. It says privileged. 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. DONLEY: And as a result, we believe now -- Your 3 Honor, as Judge Hittner did in the Leamon case, I have these documents available for you for in camera inspection. 4 5 THE COURT: I think I'd better, if we can, I think I 02:38:14PM better look at them. 6 7 MR. DONLEY: Okay. Your honor, may I provide these? 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. DONLEY: Thank you. 02:38:25PM 10 THE COURT: I would like to take a short break. 11 (Recess) 12 THE COURT: Now we have this huge issue of making plaintiffs available for depositions in Houston. 13 I don't know 14 what to do about expediting visas. I just -- I think that's beyond my control. I would think that, for depositions, 02:55:24PM 15 16 videoconferencing would be sufficient, but I am willing to be 17 educated on any particular hardships associated with 18 videoconferencing from Nepal. But that just postpones the 19 main issue. I mean, if the case does survive summary judgment 02:55:51PM 20 and we do have a trial, I think defendants absolutely have the 21 right to have plaintiff here in person. I don't know what to 22 do about that. I really don't. If a letter from the Court as 23 to our interest in having the case litigated fully would do any good, then I can do that. But if the Court is being asked 24 to assure that the plaintiffs will return to Nepal afterwards, 02:56:13PM 25

I can't do that. I can't say anything at all about what their 1 conduct will be once they come here. Is it your concern the 3 government of Nepal is not fully behind this lawsuit? I mean, not behind it economically, but are they not supportive of the 4 plaintiffs; is that the problem? 5 02:56:38PM 6 MS. FRYSZMAN: Oh, no. No. I don't have that 7 concern at all. I'm sorry. I quess I don't understand the 8 question exactly. 9 THE COURT: Well, I thought there was, in the 02:56:50PM 10 papers, a suggestion that these visas are far from being assured, that they might not be granted at all. 11 12 MS. FRYSZMAN: It's the American government that 13 would have to grant the visas, not the Nepal government. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, is the American 02:57:07PM 15 government, is the American government less than enthusia -- I 16 would think that -- all the more, so the American government 17 would want to have -- want to have these allegations resolved. 18 MS. FRYSZMAN: I would hope so, and I think a letter 19 from the Court would make a positive impact. 02:57:28PM 20 MS. McOWEN: Your Honor, our understanding is that 21 the Embassy is very supportive of the lawsuit. They routinely 22 make their facilities available for litigants to conduct 23 depositions at the Embassy in Kathmandu, which can also be done by video link. And so that is their preference, at least 24 02:57:50PM **25** for the deposition stage, but they expressed that they were

perfectly willing to cooperate to the extent they can. Our 1 2 understanding is that they have not denied the visas yet, 3 pending our getting back to them with the defendants' position on hosting the depositions at the Embassy in Nepal. 4 THE COURT: And then that would be live or video 5 02:58:15PM conference? 6 7 It could be either, Your Honor. MS. McOWEN: Tt. 8 would be more cost effective, perhaps, for the defendants to appear by video conference if they don't want to go to Nepal. 02:58:29PM 10 THE COURT: I'm not sure you really have the right to expect defendants to go to Nepal since the lawsuit was 11 brought here. 12 13 MS. McOWEN: With respect to the choice of forum, 14 Your Honor, plaintiffs really didn't have a choice of forum. Nepal was not an available forum. Jordan was not an available 02:58:45PM 15 16 forum, and so they have come here to defendant --17 THE COURT: No, I understand the problem, but -- but 18 I don't -- I mean, maybe there's law I'm unaware of, but 19 normally, the opposing party does have the right to have the 02:59:05PM 20 plaintiffs presented in the district where the suit was filed. 21 MS. McOWEN: It's been our experience in transnational cases that it is not unusual to conduct the 22 23 depositions at least in another forum, whether its where the 24 plaintiffs live or some in-between location. And it is also 02:59:27PM 25 | not unusual to conduct them by video link. The trial and the

need for live testimony at trial does tend to be, you know, an 1 additional consideration that the Embassy will take into 3 account and may lean toward granting them ultimately for trial if we make it to that stage. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to be heard on that? 02:59:45PM 6 Please. Your Honor, it is terribly MR. DONLEY: 7 unsettling for the plaintiffs to sue KBR here in this district 8 and then say, sorry, can't get there for deposition. But we have now heard the lawyers over and over again, but they'll --03:00:01PM 10 we probably can get here for trial. That deposition is at least as critical as trial for a trial lawyer, Your Honor. 11 12 I'm sure you are well aware of that. We are entitled --13 THE COURT: I'm very concerned about this. 14 MR. DONLEY: Sure. 03:00:13PM 15 THE COURT: That's why I raised it. 16 MR. DONLEY: We are entitled to look those plaintiffs eye to eye and ask our questions. That's why the 17 18 rules are as they are and that the rules require the 19 depositions take place here in Houston. When you look at --03:00:28PM 20 and we've asked for the documents on all the visa process. 21 don't believe they have been produced yet, because we have 22 been told various stories that are inconsistent about why 23 there are not visas for these plaintiffs to come here to the 24 United States, the latest being is that apparently they can't 03:00:44PM 25 convince the U.S. Embassy that they have a reason to return to

Nepal, that they have family there, that they work there, that they have a home there, that they have possessions there.

That just strikes me as utterly strange, Your Honor.

I think the plaintiffs either have to come here

03:01:00PM

or the plaintiffs need to pay for KBR's counsel to travel to Nepal to take those depos. That's not our choice. We don't want to go there to take them. I have no desire, frankly, to travel to Nepal. But we are entitled to sit across the table from them and ask our questions; and if we have to go to

03:01:17PM 10

Nepal, because I think they won't get visas to come here, they need to pay our expenses. Video link, Your Honor,

made some very serious allegations against KBR, including

12 unf

unfortunately, doesn't work very well. These plaintiffs have

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

criminal conduct. It is a 60-plus page pleading that has been

03:01:36РМ 15

filed against KBR and we need to be able and sit and look at

17

16

we think they are telling us the truth, determine what type of

these people, look them in the eye, think about whether or not

18

answer we just received. It has got to be done through an

20

19

interpreter, so trying to do it by video link just doesn't work. We need to be able to sit across the table from these

21

plaintiffs, take their depos. We think we ought to be able to

22

23 reasonable efforts so far to get the visas, but if they are

do it here in Houston. We don't think they have made

24

going to continue to say they can't get them, Your Honor, it's

03:02:05PM 25

KBR's position they need to pay the expenses for KBR's counsel

```
to go to Nepal and take the depositions there.
          1
          2
                       MS. McOWEN: Your Honor, may I respond?
          3
                       THE COURT: Yes, you may.
          4
                                    The documentation of our -- the visa
                       MS. McOWEN:
             application process has been produced to KBR. And I think
          5
03:02:24PM
             that it -- I mean, strongly disagree with our characterization
          6
          7
             that we have not made reasonable efforts to obtain these
          8
             visas.
                     The United States State Department indicates that some
             60 percent of all visa applications by Nepalis are rejected
03:02:46PM 10
             and it is not, Your Honor, because they can't prove that they
             have lived in Nepal. KBR appears to have a very naive view of
         11 I
             how visas are obtained for very low income villagers from...
         12
             Nepal. It is a difficult threshold to meet.
         13
         14
                       THE COURT: I don't deny that at all. I know that.
                       MS. McOWEN: I can honestly tell you that all of the
03:03:04PM 15
         16
             applicants are ready and willing to come here and yet the
         17
             visas have not been granted yet. I strongly disagree with the
         18
             characterization that we have not been diligent.
         19
                       THE COURT: Do you think they are also ready to
03:03:22PM 20
             return when their business here is over?
         21
                       MS. McOWEN: I do, Your Honor. Unfortunately, they
         22
             fall into a category of people that the Embassy is
         23
             concerned --
         24
                       THE COURT: Well, I know that.
03:03:32PM 25
                       MS. McOWEN: -- that they see the United States as an
```

1 opportunity. 2 THE COURT: And the Nepalese consular officials can 3 be of no help on that 4 MS. McOWEN: In obtaining the visas? THE COURT: Well, in communicating with the U.S. 5 03:03:45PM 6 Embassy as to the likelihood of return or anything like that? 7 I'm not sure I understand the question. MS. McOWEN: 8 THE COURT: I just -- I mean, I think it would be 9 very hard for U.S. officials to determine things like family 03:03:59PM 10 ties and plausible job opportunities and economic resources, 11 the kinds of things the U.S. authorities would want to hear about whether someone would return to Nepal; and I would just 12 13 wonder if there's not any government-to-government 14 communication that could be had. 03:04:18PM 15 MS. McOWEN: Well, Your Honor, this is what they do. 16 They adjudicate visa applications constantly. So they view 17 these visa applications as only a little bit different from 18 any Nepali on the street applying. And the difference is that 19 they come with a letter from counsel, from me, Your Honor, 03:04:38PM 20 saying that this is a legitimate lawsuit that is going forward 21 in Houston and that it is in all the parties interests and 22 desires to obtain visas. And I think that's the only reason 23 why the visas haven't yet been denied, Your Honor, is that we 24 have made this effort. 25 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

1 MR. McOWEN: That said, we are trying to come up with a reasonable alternative that is not costly and not 2 3 burdensome to anyone. I believe the fact that the case is 4 proceeding here in Houston has been by in large very convenient for the KBR defendants. This is their hometown. 5 03:05:12PM 6 This is really the one issue where there's a geographic issue 7 that, you know, is going to make it very difficult for the 8 plaintiffs to appear for this. 9 THE COURT: I know that. And I fully understand how 03:05:29PM 10 expensive this case has gotten to be already. But, I mean, 11 what do we have do about the defendants' right of confrontation and all? I mean, how do I --12 13 MS. McOWEN: I think there's plenty of case law 14 supporting the use of video depositions. That was the case, 03:05:49PM 15 Your Honor, with telephone depositions before we had the more 16 sophisticated technology. And there are plenty of cases that 17 proceed with telephone depositions. Now we have video, which 18 does enable the questioner to effectively look the witness in 19 the eye when asking the question. 03:06:08PM 20 I would also propose, Your Honor, and we have 21 cited some case law to this effect, that if the case does 22 survive summary judgment and if we are able to obtain visas 23 for at least some of the witnesses to appear here in Houston at trial, that there could also be an opportunity for the 24 03:06:31PM 25 defendants to confront the witnesses then prior to trial,

immediately before trial, which has been done particularly in 1 2 cases where the questions focus on damages. And for a number 3 of the plaintiffs, it appears that the bulk of the questioning 4 would go to damages. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 03:06:58PM 6 MS. McOWEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, may I? 8 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 9 MR. DONLEY: Your Honor, the other thing -- the 03:07:04PM 10 other thing that I forgot to mention is that there's about an 11-hour time difference between Nepal and here. Somebody is 11 12 going to be up very early in the morning and somebody is going 13 to be up very late in the evening trying to do these by some type of video conference. Your Honor, it certainly wouldn't 14 be appropriate -- here's what I'm -- I keep struggling with 03:07:23PM 15 16 and I'm not sure if everyone else is hearing this the way I 17 am, but I'm hearing, we sure think we can get them here for 18 trial. We don't think we can get them here for depositions. 19 And if we can get them here for trial, we'll give KBR a few 03:07:40PM 20 days before trial a chance to depose these people. Your 21 Honor, that obviously doesn't set well with KBR or its 22 counsel. 23 We are on the record all over the place about 24 what we think about this case and the lack of evidence. This 03:07:52PM 25 case is, you know, with where we are now, we need these

depositions and we need to be able to look these people in the 1 2 eye and get their answers. I heard Ms. McOwen say, it sure 3 has been convenient -- notice the word she used -- it's sure been convenient for this case to be here in Houston filed 4 against KBR. Not a 60-plus page complaint accusing KBR of 5 03:08:07PM 6 criminal conduct. It's never convenient anywhere, Judge. And 7 the amount of money that KBR has spent is just astronomical. 8 Well, let me read you what Ms. Fryszman said to the Court on March 13, 2012, when the Court asked, what do you 03:08:27PM 10 have to connect KBR to this case, because it goes to why we need to be able to look these plaintiffs in the eyes. 11 l Ms. Fryszman responded, I think the evidence from our 12 plaintiffs will come largely from their testimony, not from 13 documents. They are villagers in Indonesia. They don't have 14 a lot of documents. And we will present a strong case. 03:08:46PM 15 16 believe with eyewitness testimony from both our plaintiffs and 17 other witnesses, the people who we have contacted, who have 18 contacted us who we believe. 19 She says her case. Plaintiffs' counsel says 03:09:01PM 20 their case is going to come from these plaintiffs. These 21 depositions from our perspective, Your Honor, are critical. 22 Having to conduct them across some video link 11 hours or so 23 between these parties -- or between the attorney and the witness being deposed through a translator, Your Honor, on 24 03:09:18PM 25 this type of case with this type of statement with these types

of allegations made from KBR's perspective just isn't fair. 1 Your Honor certainly has the ability to make this fair to 3 either help find a way or to force plaintiffs' counsel to find a way to get these parties here for their depositions or to 4 pay KBR's counsels way to go to Nepal and take the depositions 5 03:09:36PM 6 there and take them live. 7 THE COURT: Well, I do think there's probably a lot 8 of latitude. I am sensitive to the relative disadvantages of 9 each potential approach. I think videoconferencing is always 03:10:00PM 10 second best, and videoconferencing with an interpreter is a 11 further complication. 12 I will take that under advisement. 13 We have got plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Three 14 Limited Categories of Nonelectronic Documents. Do you want to 03:10:32PM 15 speak to that, Ms. McOwen? 16 MS. McOWEN: Actually, could I make one comment 17 before --18 THE COURT: Yeah, you may. 19 MS. McOWEN: Just on the subject of diligence and 03:10:46PM 20 plaintiffs' diligence over the past couple of months, Your 21 I want to clarify the volume of discovery that we have 22 been responding to, which includes no fewer than 5,561 requests for admission served by KBR and over 1600 23 24 interrogatories, all of which we are -- have been busy answering and verifying with our clients who include more than 03:11:10рм 25

20 individuals located in Baran, Nepal. The amount of time 1 that that has taken, in addition to responding to some 500 3 document requests and six pending discovery motions --4 THE COURT: If that's excessive, why didn't you come to court to ask for some protection? 5 03:11:32PM 6 MS. McOWEN: Your Honor, we are doing -- making our 7 best effort to respond in full to the defendants' requests. 8 We had been before you earlier this year about the interrog -the first set of interrogatories that the KBR defendants 03:11:56PM 10 served. We are responding to those on Friday. So it is 11 approximately 500 interrogatories. 12 In the interim, KBR served an additional set of approximately 500 interrogatories, which we answered and 13 14 served yesterday. We are making every effort to respond in full and to work as diligently as we possibly can on every 03:12:18PM 15 16 aspect of this case and it has simply left us in a position where we have not had as much time as we would have liked to 17 18 be pursuing our affirmative efforts to receive discovery from 19 Kellogg Brown & Root. And I just wanted to correct that, that 03:12:44PM 20 point that was raised earlier. 21 THE COURT: Does anybody on your side want to speak 22 to the issue of these three discrete areas of document 23 discovery -- reports, records, disciplinary actions, documents 24 related to investigations, documents from press and foreign affairs? You not -- you not willing to --03:13:14PM 25

```
1
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: I think we are willing to rest on
          2
             our --
          3
                       THE COURT: Okay.
          4
                       MS. FRYSZMAN: -- papers. We just filed the reply,
          5
             I think, yesterday evening.
03:13:25PM
          6
                       THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir. You want to speak to
          7
             that, Mr. Mengis?
          8
                       MR. MENGIS: Yes, Your honor. Just a couple of
             quick points on this Motion to Compel with Three Discreet
03:13:46PM 10
             Categories of Documents. Number one, I won't spend long on
         11
             this at all. I do believe there was a complete failure of
             plaintiffs' counsel to comply with the meet and confer rules
         12
         13
             and a misrepresentation to this Court, especially with regard
         14
             to the Halliburton documents as to the nature of the
03:14:03PM 15
             conversations between counsel. Ms. Fryszman asked me if I
             would -- if I represented Halliburton and if I would accept a
         16
         17
             subpoena on Halliburton's behalf or if there was any way I
         18
             could facilitate the production of Halliburton documents.
         19
             have responded back to her quite simply, you are going to have
03:14:24PM 20
             to issue a subpoena. I have talked to the people at KBR --
         21
             well, first of all, I don't represent Halliburton.
         22
                       THE COURT: I know.
         23
                       MR. MENGIS: And then, for the first time in the
         24
             motion, she raises the issue of whether we have an obligation
03:14:36PM 25
             or not. That was news to me that such an agreement existed
```

```
1
             and that we would --
                       THE COURT: Let me slow you down again.
          2
          3
                            We've got --
                       MR. MENGIS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
          4
                       THE COURT: -- this young woman has got to keep up
          5
03:14:42PM
          6
             with every syllable, okay? Start the sentence again.
          7
                       MR. MENGIS: Since she had -- I did not know that
          8
             there was such an agreement between Halliburton and KBR that
             would have made the docu -- that would have given Halliburton
03:14:57PM 10
             any type of an obligation to respond to our request. Since
             she raised that issue, we have requested Halliburton to search
         11
             their files and to make available to us for review the
         12
             documents she now seeks. As far as I am concerned, those
         13
         14
             two -- two of the three categories now have been mooted, and
             it could have been mooted before she filed her motion if she
03:15:17PM 15
         16
             had simply raised that issue with me prior to filing. She did
         17
             not. We have not heard back from Halliburton yet. When we
             do, I will report to her as to whether they have found any
         18
         19
             documents or not. We have produced all the documents subject
03:15:35PM 20
             to those two classes that we have found in KBR's possession.
         21
             So there's nothing for the Court to consider as far as I am
         22
             concerned regarding those two categories.
         23
                            The more difficult issue is the one about the
         24
             employee records where she asked us to review every single
             employee disciplinary file record to determine if anyone has
03:15:54PM 25
```

03:16:15PM

03:17:34PM 25

ever been disciplined or potentially disciplined for raising a 1 complaint about the treatment of third country nationals, 2 3 taking of passports and those types of issues. As we have set 4 forth in our papers, Your Honor, KBR employed over 75,000 individuals. The average employment record is 100 to 200 5 pages. Our conservative estimate, it would take us about 6 7 nine years for one person to review those 75,000 records in 8 detail enough for us to know whether anybody was disciplined or potentially disciplined because of those types of 03:16:35PM 10 allegations. The burden associated with that far outweighs 11 the benefit of anything we might find. What we have done -and the reason Ms. Fryszman knows about the two instances that 12 she cites in her motion is because they were referenced in 13 14 e-mails that come from the nine POI's that she is complaining 03:16:58PM 15 about that we got to handpick and they are not the people that 16 should have been chosen. The very people that she knows about 17 come from that electronic discovery. We believe, that if 18 there were any others, they would have been shown in that very 19 same electronic discovery. 03:17:16PM 20 The employment records are not fully electronically searchable. In fact an electronic search would 21 22 be highly unreliable because a lot of the employment records, as you might understand, are handwritten. Those aren't 23 24 searchable in an electronic format as reliably as typewritten

employment records. And also, to determine whether or not

something is responsive, we believe would require an even more in-depth review of those documents. Given the fact that she has now dismissed her RICO actions against KBR, the relevancy of these documents is also very limited. So given the fact that we think she's already found the two -- and actually, there's only one that exists -- and the work that would be required in searching the remaining documents and the burden that that would entail just far outweigh any relevancy that the remaining documents may have.

Your Honor, one other point I would like to raise, because Your Honor noted at one point why we hadn't found it, in one of our prior hearings, Ms. Fryszman came up with a disciplinary record of Mr. Land. And in response to your question, I didn't know why we hadn't found it. We since went to go check Mr. Land's personnel file. The document is not there. So even if we had searched Mr. Land's record, we would not have found that document. I don't even know whether the one she has is authentic or not. I'm not saying it is not. I just — we don't have a copy of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. FRYSZMAN: Your Honor, you asked earlier why things take so long. I guess one reason is that we had started asking about these documents in June and we wrote to the defendants and we got no response. We wrote again two weeks later; we got no response. I think the chronology is

03:18:47PM 20

03:17:52PM

03:18:11PM 10

03:18:33PM 15

03:19:10рм 25

here on pages -- the early pages of our -- of our filing where 1 we detail the number of times that we wrote them and the 3 number of times that we called them and we were completely ignored. We got no response whatsoever. And we detailed 4 it -- not even the full thing, but it is in our papers the 5 03:19:28PM number of letters that we sent and the number of calls that we 7 made and got absolutely no response over almost a six-week 8 period. It wasn't until we called and said, we are going to file a motion, that we finally got them to respond to us. 03:19:41PM 10 When they sent their response, Mr. Mengis' 11 letter said the documents are in the custody and control of Halliburton and that they wouldn't be produced. We accepted 12 13 that, except that Ms. McOwen found on the Internet the 14 separation agreement between Halliburton and KBR. is not our job to know about that. It is their job to know 03:20:03PM 15 16 about that. And they should have known about it. 17 documents are plainly under their custody and control, and we 18 would like a clear evaluation of whether -- all of our 19 documents -- it appears that many more categories of documents 03:20:18PM 20 may be housed at Halliburton. And it is additionally 21 frustrating, because months ago we served interrogatories 22 asking about the custodians, because I don't know if you 23 recall, there was a question about the e-mail suffixes and I 24 had a question about what the e-mail suffixes meant and they 03:20:33PM 25 said it was because employees are second. And then we served

1 interrogatories about the --THE COURT: No, too fast. 2 3 MS. FRYSZMAN: -- about the custodians and where they worked. And our responses didn't reveal that the 4 custodians worked at Halliburton. I don't really have a good 5 03:20:42PM 6 idea of what has been searched, what hasn't been searched, 7 what categories of documents have been looked for, what 8 personnel files --9 THE COURT: Well, have you had that conversation 03:20:57PM 10 with the other side? 11 MS. FRYSZMAN: We have tried. And on the issue of additional acts, the Supreme Court has repeatedly held that 12 13 additional acts of either subsequent or prior go to knowledge and go to intent. And we cited those cases, I think, on page 14 4 of -- I think maybe it was our Hotline Brief. But it is 03:21:14PM 15 16 Keyes versus School District, 413 U.S. 189 where the Supreme Court held that evidence of similar and related acts tend to 17 18 show consistent pattern of --19 THE COURT: No, we're going too fast. 03:21:36PM 20 MS. FRYSZMAN: -- consistent pattern of conduct 21 highly irrelevant to the issue of intent. We cited a couple 22 other Supreme Court cases, a Fifth Circuit case and a Fourth 23 Circuit case, United States versus Hattaway, 681 F.2d 214. 24 THE COURT: Repeat the cite, please. 03:21:52PM 25 MS. FRYSZMAN: 681 F.2d 214, Fourth Circuit, 1982.

And what the Fourth Circuit found that the -- that the subsequent acts were relevant in that they made it significantly more probable that the defendant in that case knowingly -- knowing committing the acts and was not merely an unwitting goal of the association.

03:22:14PM

It is clear that subsequent conduct and prior conduct and related acts are very relevant to the question of intent and knowledge, which is one of the key issues that remain in the case. That is why we believe that these documents are still relevant. And, um...

03:22:26PM 10

THE COURT: Well, the -- so I mean on the issue of the documents that are in Halliburton's possession, are you saying you just don't agree with defendants if they are in Halliburton's possession or you're saying you are asking about different documents or what, what...

03:22:57PM 15

MS. FRYSZMAN: What I am trying to say, hopefully, clearly, is we believe that that Master Separation Agreement provides that the documents that were left at Halliburton at the split in 2007 are still under the custody and control of KBR. So, for example, we got a set of the Hotline Reports. But they start in 2006. So I think if there are documents, other documents other than the ones that we have just discussed — we have just stumbled on — if there are other documents that we have requested that state that Halliburton left the Press Office documents or the Government documents,

03:23:12PM 20

03:23:31PM 25

that all of those documents are, in fact, under the Master 1 2 Separation Agreement in the custody and control of KBR and 3 should be produced even if --4 THE COURT: Okay. MS. FRYSZMAN: -- they are among the few that we have 5 03:23:44PM identified. 6 7 THE COURT: The production of personnel records or disciplinary records, that does sound like an awful lot for --8 for dubious relevance. Can you narrow that request at all? 03:24:01PM 10 MS. FRYSZMAN: It can be narrowed significantly. I 11 think the numbers they provided was for every person that went 12 to Iraq and that isn't what we are seeking. We could 13 certainly narrow it by time periods. We can narrow it by location --14 THE COURT: Why hasn't that been done? I mean, 03:24:11PM 15 16 let's do that. 17 MS. FRYSZMAN: I would be happy to talk with them if they are willing to talk to us cooperatively to the end result 18 19 of actually getting to an answer as opposed to just saying no 20 and having -- just saying no. But I would be happy to work 21 with them on getting to a narrower category. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Mengis. MR. MENGIS: Your Honor, I raised this issue when 23 24 she first filed her motion. I sent an e-mail to Ms. Loewe 03:24:37PM 25 saying that they had not met and conferred and asking them to

withdraw their motion until we could confer. She refused. 1 She went forward with her motion. These are exactly the types 3 of issues that we should have talked about before we came down here, Your Honor. 4 MS. FRYSZMAN: We have been writing them for 5 03:24:52PM 6 six weeks and then we were facing the discovery cutoff and we had not gotten a response until we threatened to move to 8 compel. And we were worried that under the cutoff we would be cutoff if we didn't go forward. I don't think they dispute 03:25:11PM 10 that they ignored all of our letters and phone calls. 11 MR. MENGIS: I do most certainly dispute. I told 12 her we were working on a response. It was not the threat of a 13 Motion to Compel. She wrote a six- or seven-page letter that took some time to respond to and to get the factual statements 14 in my correspondence correct while working on other things. 03:25:25PM 15 16 Of the ten categories of documents that we responded to, she 17 only came down here on three. So, obviously, we made some 18 points in the letter. We were -- we stood ready to work on 19 the remaining three and she didn't come back to talk to me 03:25:45PM 20 about it. 21 THE COURT: Alright. Well, before I rule on it, I would like to see 22 23 the disciplinary records that are being requested and narrowed 24 significantly. 03:26:03PM 25 The documents related to investigations

conducted by KBR as to human trafficking, that strikes me as a 1 2 difficult search. Are there documents that can be accessed 3 that deal with internal investigations? MR. MENGIS: Your Honor, as far as the KBR documents 4 5 are concerned, we have searched the KBR records as requested 03:26:37PM 6 by Ms. Fryszman in the various places that she asked us to 7 look, in The Press Office and the Government Affairs Office 8 and so forth, to try and find those types of documents, as well as searching our files for investigations. We've done 03:26:55PM 10 that. We've produced what KBR has found. It is either produced or it is in a privileged log. My understanding of 11 her request here today was for the Halliburton documents. 12 have made a request of Halliburton to do that same search. 13 have no idea whether they will or won't. We have made the 14 request. If Ms. Fryszman doesn't like that response, she can 03:27:11PM 15 subpoena Halliburton. 16 17 THE COURT: I'm not going to grant the Motion to 18 I do think we can make some more progress 19 consensually before I need to rule on that. 03:27:29PM 20 I haven't set a discovery cutoff. I don't know 21 if there's any point in doing so when I have authorized so 22 many depositions. I'm inclined to let the parties make their 23 own way on discovery; and if one party believes it has become 24 excessive or unnecessarily consumptive of time, you can come 03:27:54PM 25 back to me and ask me to set a deadline, which I will do.

	1	Well, the two really hard ones are KBR's motion			
	2	as to compel the depositions in Houston and plaintiffs' cross			
	3	motion for protective order on video link.			
	4	Should we end the hearing before you die?			
03:28:36PM	5	MS. McOWEN: I apologize, Your Honor.			
	6	THE COURT: That's okay. I'm kidding.			
	7	MR. SARLES: Your Honor, could I just tell you one			
	8	thing about the Houston depo issue. We are not taking a			
	9	position on the location; but whatever the resolution, Daoud			
03:28:50PM	10	does want the right to sit at the table and confront the			
	11	witnesses in person without taking a position on where that			
	12	location will be.			
	13	THE COURT: Has anybody suggested you don't have			
	14	that right?			
03:28:59PM	15	MR. SARLES: No, I just wanted to clarify.			
	16	THE COURT: All right.			
	17	Well, I'm going to go take another hard look at			
	18	the cases on those two motions, and I will have something out			
	19	in writing. Does anybody is there anything else we can do			
03:29:15PM	20	today?			
	21	Okay. Thank you all very much.			
	22	(Concluded)			
	23	* * *			
	24				
	25				

Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157

1 2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause, to the best of my ability.
3	
4	//s 10/10/2012 Stephanie Kay Carlisle CSR, RPR Date
5	Official Court Reporter
6	
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157

	1	T		1
1	3	8	23:11, 32:20, 48:2,	54:7, 56:1
,	3	0	56:12, 62:12, 62:13	And [1] - 41:16
			additionally [1] -	Angeles [5] - 1:19,
//s [1] - 68:4	3 [3] - 10:17, 23:11,	8 [2] - 22:12, 24:2	61:20	10:13, 10:24, 11:3,
_	28:13	8016 [1] - 2:10	addressed [1] -	11:11
1	30 [2] - 9:13, 30:4	865 [1] - 1:18	39:18	answer [2] - 49:18,
	30-40 [1] - 19:19	874 [1] - 17:14	ADHIKARI [1] - 1:3	64:19
10 [4] - 9:22, 10:1,	39 [1] - 7:2		adjudicate [1] -	answered [3] - 8:14,
36:17, 38:12		9	51:16	8:17, 56:13
10-day [1] - 43:13	4		admission [1] -	answering [1] -
10/10/2012 [1] - 68:4		90017 [1] - 1:19	55:23	55:25
100 [2] - 28:13, 59:5	4 [2] - 23:12, 62:15		advisement [1] -	answers [1] - 54:2
1000 [1] - 2:5	40 [1] - 9:5	Α	55:12	apologize [3] - 15:1,
10th [1] - 1:19	413 [1] - 62:16		Affairs [1] - 66:7	27:24, 67:5
11 [1] - 54:22	45 [1] - 26:5	-1.1116 44.4	affairs [1] - 56:25 affect [1] - 4:8	appear [3] - 47:9,
11-hour [1] - 53:11	47 [1] - 9:10	ability [3] - 41:1,	affected [1] - 4:8	52:8, 52:23 appearances [1] -
1100 [1] - 1:14		55:2, 68:2 able [13] - 14:6,	afternoon [2] - 3:3,	3:4
13 [4] - 6:24, 8:22,	5	25:16, 31:6, 36:7,	33:15	APPEARANCES [2] -
8:25, 54:9		38:15, 44:13, 49:15,	afterwards [1] -	1:12, 2:1
15th [1] - 6:14	5,561 [1] - 55:22	49:20, 49:21, 52:22,	45:25	applicants [1] -
1600 [1] - 55:23	50 [1] - 18:12	54:1, 54:11	AGNIESZKA [1] -	50:16
189 [1] - 62:16	50,000 [1] - 19:19	above-entitled [1] -	1:13	application [5] -
1982 [1] - 62:25	500 [4] - 1:15, 56:2,	68:1	Agnieszka [1] - 3:5	39:21, 40:4, 40:9,
19th [1] - 43:13	56:11, 56:13	absolutely [2] -	ago [2] - 23:5, 61:21	40:17, 50:5
1:40 [1] - 1:8	502(d [11] - 17:1,	45:20, 61:7	agree [6] - 11:15,	applications [3] -
1st [1] - 43:14	18:11, 29:4, 29:6,	abuse [2] - 23:2,	11:17, 28:6, 28:7,	50:9, 51:16, 51:17
2	29:14, 30:23, 37:10,	23:22	32:5, 63:13	apply [2] - 10:1,
	37:16, 37:24, 38:13,	accept [1] - 57:16	agreed [5] - 6:2,	11:18
	40:23	accepted [1] - 61:12	11:11, 20:1, 25:6,	applying [1] - 51:18
2 [1] - 38:10	502(d) [1] - 38:20	access [1] - 14:19	39:13	appreciate [2] - 36:7,
2.2 [2] - 24:21, 25:3	515 [1] - 2:10	accessed [1] - 66:2	agreeing [1] - 8:1	36:9
20 [2] - 30:4, 56:1	538,445 [1] - 23:14	accommodate [1] -	agreement [10] -	approach [3] - 18:19, 42:12, 55:9
200 [1] - 59:5	546,282 [1] - 22:12	16:12	34:4, 38:25, 40:23, 42:4, 43:2, 43:3,	appropriate [3] -
2000 [1] - 2:5	56(d [4] - 18:24,	accommodation [1] - 10:24	43:4, 57:25, 58:8,	16:20, 25:10, 53:15
20005 [1] - 1:15	32:18, 33:6, 35:20	accomplish [1] -	61:14	areas [1] - 56:22
2006 [1] - 63:21	56(d) [1] - 16:19	26:24	Agreement [2] -	arguing [1] - 13:9
2007 [1] - 63:19	6	account [1] - 48:3	63:17, 64:2	argument [1] - 43:20
2009 [1] - 12:22 2010 [4] - 19:10,	0	accurate [1] - 29:16	aided [1] - 1:25	arrangement [1] -
19:22, 20:21, 22:1		accusing [1] - 54:5	AL [2] - 1:4, 1:8	42:17
2012 [6] - 1:7, 3:2,	6 [2] - 22:6, 24:1	acknowledge [1] -	al [4] - 1:14, 14:4,	arrived [2] - 7:11,
23:10, 24:1, 54:9	60 [1] - 50:9	6:7	15:3, 36:11	15:4
21 [5] - 19:10, 19:22,	60-plus [2] - 49:14,	Action [1] - 1:3	al-Assad [3] - 14:4,	aspect [1] - 56:16
21:23, 23:4, 23:23	54:5	action [1] - 40:19	15:3, 36:11	Assad [3] - 14:4,
214 [2] - 62:23, 62:25	64 [1] - 28:13	actions [2] - 56:23,	align [1] - 42:12	15:3, 36:11
22nd [1] - 43:16	681 [2] - 62:23, 62:25	60:3	allegations [5] -	asserting [1] - 15:14
23 [3] - 22:1, 23:10,	7	acts [7] - 39:23,	32:4, 46:17, 49:13,	assistant [1] - 44:20
23:23	ı	62:12, 62:13, 62:17,	55:1, 59:10	associated [2] -
238 [2] - 7:3, 8:18		63:2, 63:4, 63:7	allegedly [1] - 35:13	45:17, 59:10
24th [2] - 29:2, 37:25	7 [3] - 9:21, 23:17,	actual [3] - 14:3,	allow [3] - 16:3, 26:19, 36:5	association [1] - 63:5
25 [4] - 1:7, 3:2,	23:18	17:18, 21:21	allowed [1] - 38:3	63:5 assure [1] - 45:25
23:10, 24:1	7,214 [1] - 20:11	add [1] - 44:4 addition [4] - 7:2,	almost [1] - 61:7	assure [1] - 45:25 assured [1] - 46:11
250,000 [1] - 24:21	713.250.5157 [1] -	8:20, 15:11, 56:2	Alright [1] - 65:21	astronomical [1] -
25th [1] - 22:6	2:11	additional [19] - 7:6,	alternative [1] - 52:2	54:7
26 [7] - 7:1, 9:3, 9:4,	75,000 [2] - 59:4,	8:22, 9:5, 9:10,	American [4] - 46:12,	asylum [13] - 12:4,
9:5, 9:9	59:7	11:19, 11:20, 11:25,	46:14, 46:15, 46:16	13:16, 15:11, 15:13,
	756,687 [1] - 24:5	14:2, 15:2, 15:10,	amount [6] - 16:17,	16:6, 39:7, 39:17,
	77002 [2] - 2:6, 2:10	16:3, 16:20, 21:16,	16:22, 27:4, 39:25,	39:20, 39:21, 40:9,
	Stenhanie	l Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR - 7	 13 250 5157	
	Stephanie	ray our now, core, rei it	10.200.0107	

40:17, 40:20, 42:21 asylum-related [1] -39:7 attaching [1] - 29:4 attempt [1] - 4:6 attended [1] - 32:9 attorney [1] - 54:23 attorneys [1] - 11:5 audible [1] - 8:24 authentic [1] - 60:18 authorities [1] -51:11 authority [1] - 13:3 **authorize** [1] - 16:15 authorized [1] -66:21 available [8] - 24:24, 26:18, 45:4, 45:13, 46:22, 47:15, 58:12 Avenue [1] - 1:14 average [1] - 59:5 aware [1] - 48:12 awful [1] - 64:8 awhile [1] - 41:13

В

Baker [5] - 2:4, 3:14, 3:15, 24:24, 31:4 Baran [1] - 56:1 **Base** [2] - 14:4, 15:3 **base** [4] - 34:21, 35:7, 35:9, 35:11 based [4] - 10:13, 14:4, 34:16, 39:22 basis [2] - 14:6, 40:2 become [1] - 66:23 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:10 begin [1] - 24:1 beginning [3] - 3:4, 14:3, 39:2 begins [1] - 19:14 behalf [2] - 12:1, 57:17 behind [2] - 46:3, 46:4 believes [2] - 15:7, 66:23 benefit [1] - 59:11 beside [1] - 19:8 best [5] - 23:16, 28:14, 55:10, 56:7, 68:1 better [6] - 5:18, 6:3, 16:9, 18:8, 45:5, 45:6 between [10] - 4:12, 16:5, 32:3, 47:24,

53:11, 54:23, 57:15,

58:8, 61:14 beyond [5] - 7:6, 13:17, 13:20, 42:7, 45:15 Bill [1] - 35:4 Billy [2] - 3:12, 4:20 BILLY [1] - 2:3 bit [5] - 21:9, 21:21, 32:7, 41:23, 51:17 **Blackberry** [1] - 29:3 blamed [2] - 15:15, 15:25 blames [1] - 16:2 bothered [1] - 32:8 bottom [2] - 22:13, 29:18 bounds [1] - 13:17 **box** [1] - 21:22 break [1] - 45:10 Brief [1] - 62:15 briefly [1] - 42:1 broad [1] - 5:7 brought [3] - 5:24, 18:17, 47:12 **Brown** [1] - 56:19 Buddi [3] - 34:17, 34:24, 35:4 bulk [2] - 37:17, 53:3 burden [3] - 32:17, 59:10, 60:7 burdensome [1] business [1] - 50:20 busy [2] - 14:9, 55:24

С

CA [1] - 1:19 CALDWELL [1] callback [2] - 42:4, 42.8 caller [1] - 44:11 camera [1] - 45:4 care [2] - 15:4, 40:14 Carlisle [1] - 68:4 **CARLISLE** [1] - 2:9 case [56] - 4:7, 5:7, 5:20, 8:3, 8:16, 9:15, 11:1, 12:10, 13:6, 15:14, 15:22, 16:8, 16:11, 25:17, 27:5, 28:24, 32:11, 33:11, 34:12, 35:16, 35:22, 36:23, 37:12, 39:2, 39:19, 42:7, 42:9, 42:11, 43:2, 44:4, 44:5, 44:6, 44:8,

44:13, 45:3, 45:19, 45:23, 52:3, 52:10, 52:13, 52:14, 52:21, 53:24, 53:25, 54:4, 54:10, 54:15, 54:19, 54:20, 54:25, 56:16, 62:22, 62:23, 63:3, 63:9 cases [10] - 8:1, 15:12, 15:20, 40:7, 47:22, 52:16, 53:2, 62:14, 62:22, 67:18 Categories [2] -55:14, 57:10 categories [5] -58:14, 58:22, 61:19, 62:7, 65:16 category [2] - 50:22, 64:21 caused [2] - 15:19, 39:25 certain [4] - 28:2, 28:6, 28:7, 28:9 certainly [8] - 24:11, 27:11, 31:10, 43:6, 53:14, 55:2, 64:13, 65:11 certify [1] - 68:1 challenge [1] - 40:3 chance [1] - 53:20 change [2] - 3:21, 5:17 characterization [2] - 50:6, 50:18 cheap [1] - 37:18 check [3] - 40:18, 40:19, 60:15 chilling [2] - 13:21, 40:10 choice [6] - 9:23, 16:13, 47:13, 47:14, 49:6 choices [1] - 31:7 chosen [1] - 59:16 chronology [1] -60:25 Circuit [5] - 13:18, 62:22, 62:23, 62:25, 63:1 circuit [1] - 13:19 circumstances [1] -

claimed [1] - 26:12 claiming [3] - 14:21, 15:18, 32:22 claims [5] - 4:22, 5:5, 8:16, 13:22, 36:22 clarify [4] - 5:4, 43:8, 55:21, 67:15 classes [1] - 58:20 classic [1] - 15:24 clawback [1] - 42:17 clean [1] - 21:21 clear [4] - 17:11, 43:3, 61:18, 63:6 clearly [3] - 12:19, 16:10, 63:17 client [1] - 15:19 client's [1] - 32:12 clients [2] - 41:14, 55:25 closer [1] - 9:17 coerce [1] - 35:11 coercion [2] - 23:1, 23:21 Cohen [6] - 1:14, 19:11, 19:21, 20:12, 20:14, 20:22 colleagues [1] -41:17 column [3] - 19:20, 20:10, 22:3 combine [1] - 17:15 comfort [2] - 39:4, coming [3] - 11:10, 24:8, 34:7 comment [1] - 55:16 comments [1] -42:20 committing [1] -63:4 communicating [1] -51:5 communication [2] -27:15, 51:14 compare [1] - 30:5 compel [2] - 65:8, 67:2 Compel [5] - 43:18, 55:13, 57:9, 65:13, 66:18 competent [1] -25:20 complaining [1] -59:14 complaint [3] - 9:11, 54:5, 59:2 complaints [1] -34:20 complete [2] - 34:18, 57:11

completely [3] -30:18, 39:18, 61:3 complication [1] -55:11 comply [1] - 57:12 compromise [1] -11:4 computer [2] - 1:25, 38:14 computer-aided [1] -1:25 concern [2] - 46:2, 46:7 concerned [6] - 43:9. 48:13, 50:23, 58:13, 58:22, 66:5 concerns [2] - 26:7, 40:14 concluded [1] - 14:2 Concluded [1] -67:22 concurrent [1] -11:22 conditions [2] -14:22, 34:20 conduct [10] - 46:2, 46:22, 47:22, 47:25, 49:14, 54:6, 54:22, 62:20, 63:6, 63:7 conducted [2] -10:11.66:1 confer [2] - 57:12, 65:1 conference [4] -37:13, 47:6, 47:9, 53:14 **conferred** [1] - 64:25 confidential [1] -15:23 confidentiality [2] -38:25, 40:13 confirm [2] - 31:8, 44:13 confirms [2] - 29:19, 31:10 confiscation [2] -23:1. 23:22 confront [2] - 52:25, 67:10 confrontation [1] -52:12 Congress [1] - 37:20 connect [1] - 54:10 connected [1] -44:21 connection [1] -32:10 consecutive [1] -11:22

Consecutive [1] -

44:8, 26:11, 27:9 57:11 -Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

36:10

cite [2] - 15:21, 62:24

cited [6] - 15:12,

62:14, 62:21

cites [1] - 59:13

claim [5] - 15:25,

37:12, 40:6, 52:21,

Civil [2] - 1:3, 18:11

11:23
consensually [1] -
66:19
consequence [1] -
31:18
conservative [1] -
59:6
consider [5] - 18:4,
21:25, 25:19, 26:25,
58:21
considerably [1] -
17:4
consideration [1] -
48:2
considering [1] -
25:11
consistent [2] - 62:18, 62:20
constantly [1] -
51:16
consular [1] - 51:2
consumptive [1] -
66:24
contacted [2] -
54:17, 54:18
continuance [16] -
5:14, 16:19, 16:23,
18:24, 21:1, 22:11,
22:15, 23:21, 24:9,
31:20, 31:22, 32:8,
33:4, 36:1, 36:5,
43:15
continuation [1] -
11:12
continue [3] - 21:18,
34:6, 49:24
continued [1] - 40:1
Continued [1] - 2:1 continues [1] - 12:6
control [5] - 45:15,
61:11, 61:17, 63:19,
64:2
convenient [4] -
52:5, 54:3, 54:4,
54:6
conversation [4] -
38:23, 41:2, 41:5,
62:9
conversations [1] -
57:15
convince [2] - 24:13,
48:25
cooperate [1] - 47:1
cooperatively [1] -
64:18
copies [1] - 38:3
copy [6] - 12:8, 12:9, 29:3, 38:4, 39:16,
29.3, 36.4, 39.16, 60:19
correct [5] - 9:2,
JU. 1000 [0] U.Z.,

```
29:12, 56:19, 65:15,
corrected [1] - 42:24
correspondence [3]
- 4:20, 4:21, 65:15
cost [1] - 47:8
costly [1] - 52:2
counsel [42] - 3:4,
4:20, 4:22, 10:11,
10:13, 10:24, 11:2,
11:4, 14:14, 18:24,
21:8, 21:11, 21:13,
21:15, 22:10, 22:20,
23:11, 23:24, 24:4,
24:8, 24:11, 24:17,
25:6, 25:15, 25:16,
25:22, 25:24, 25:25,
26:16, 27:4, 27:15,
40:20, 42:24, 44:13,
49:5, 49:25, 51:19,
53:22, 54:19, 55:3,
57:12, 57:15
counsel's [2] - 9:23,
26:7
counsels [2] - 16:13,
55:5
country [4] - 3:23,
10:21, 30:13, 59:2
counts [1] - 3:20
couple [4] - 11:8,
55:20, 57:8, 62:21
course [3] - 6:7,
12:2, 21:12
court [2] - 44:15,
56:5
COURT [143] - 1:1,
2:9, 3:3, 3:8, 3:11,
3:17, 3:25, 4:1, 4:3,
4:8, 4:12, 4:18, 5:3,
5:11, 6:15, 6:20,
6:22, 7:8, 7:14, 7:19,
8:8, 8:13, 8:20, 8:25,
9:6, 9:7, 9:13, 9:20,
10:4, 10:8, 10:23,
11:7, 11:17, 11:22,
11:24, 12:12, 13:7,
13:11, 13:14, 13:23,
14:8, 14:25, 16:3,
17:7, 17:24, 18:13,
18:21, 19:5, 19:12,
19:23, 20:5, 23:6,
23:18, 24:14, 27:21,
27:24, 28:7, 28:25,
29:9, 29:17, 29:25,
30:9, 30:11, 30:19,
31:2, 31:12, 31:15,
31:24, 32:5, 33:2,
33:5, 33:17, 36:12,
36:24, 37:5, 37:22,
38:2, 38:17, 38:24,
```

```
39:3, 39:6, 39:17,
40:13, 40:21, 41:2,
41:6, 41:11, 41:17,
41:25, 42:2, 42:19,
43:11, 43:24, 44:2,
44:17, 45:1, 45:5,
45:8, 45:10, 45:12,
46:9. 46:14. 47:5.
47:10, 47:17, 48:5,
48:13, 48:15, 50:3,
50:14, 50:19, 50:24,
51:2, 51:5, 51:8,
51:25, 52:9, 53:5,
53:8, 55:7, 55:18,
56:4, 56:21, 57:3,
57:6, 57:22, 58:2,
58:5, 60:20, 62:2,
62:9, 62:19, 62:24,
63:11, 64:4, 64:7,
64:15, 64:22, 65:21,
66:17, 67:6, 67:13,
67:16
Court [35] - 2:9,
14:13, 18:16, 19:4,
19:9, 23:20, 24:8,
25:8, 26:10, 27:9,
27:12, 32:2, 32:17,
32:24, 33:14, 34:7,
34:11, 35:1, 36:8,
36:20, 39:1, 42:5,
45:22, 45:24, 46:19,
54:9, 57:13, 58:21,
62:12, 62:17, 62:22,
68:5
courts [3] - 37:14,
40:5, 40:9
cover [1] - 14:17
covered [2] - 10:13,
26:6
credibility [2] - 40:6,
40:7
criminal [2] - 49:14,
54:6
critical [2] - 48:11,
54:21
cross [1] - 67:2
cry [1] - 35:6
CSR [1] - 68:4
current [1] - 14:11
custodian [1] - 44:24
custodians (3) -
61:22, 62:3, 62:5
custody [4] - 61:11,
61:17, 63:19, 64:2
cutoff [4] - 65:6,
65:8, 65:9, 66:20
```

1:17, 2:3 defendant [4] - 3:9, 28:24, 47:16, 63:3 defendant's [3] - 6:6, 10:24, 38:18 Defendants [1] - 8:9 defendants [14] -3:13, 4:21, 7:8, 12:23, 16:4, 37:15, 45:20, 47:8, 47:11, D 52:5, 52:25, 56:9, 60:24, 63:13 defendants' [4] - 8:6, **D.C** [1] - 24:25

47:3, 52:11, 56:7 daily [1] - 14:6 definitively [1] - 18:6 damages [6] - 15:14, delay [1] - 41:21 15:25, 40:3, 53:2, 53:4 delivered [1] - 12:21 Daoud [31] - 3:9, 5:6, denied [2] - 47:2, 10:11, 11:2, 11:6, 51:23 12:1, 12:16, 15:10, deny[1] - 50:14 19:2, 19:17, 20:10, Department [5] -20:19, 21:19, 21:23, 44:20, 44:21, 44:23, 22:2, 22:5, 22:8, 44:24, 50:8 22:9, 28:22, 31:12, depo[1] - 67:8 33:10, 33:12, 33:15, deponent [1] - 9:23 33:20, 37:2, 67:9 deponent's [1] - 9:23 **DAOUD** [2] - 1:8, deponents [1] - 5:22 1:17 depos [2] - 49:6, Daoud's [1] - 10:13 49:21 Daoud-related [2] depose [4] - 9:17, 21:19, 22:2 10:15, 12:11, 53:20 dark [1] - 30:18 deposed [9] - 5:21, database [1] - 38:14 8:18, 9:16, 10:2, **Date** [1] - 68:4 10:20, 10:23, 34:18, date [2] - 22:2, 43:6 36:11, 54:24 dated [2] - 43:5, 43:6 deposition [14] dates [1] - 41:10 10:12, 11:9, 12:6, days [5] - 9:25, 12:8, 12:14, 12:16, 10:12, 36:17, 38:12, 12:25, 14:2, 16:4, 53:20 16:14, 16:15, 46:25, DC [1] - 1:15 48:8, 48:10 deadline [3] - 6:13, depositions [28] -31:19, 66:25 4:10, 5:13, 5:20, 5:25, 6:7, 6:19, 7:1, deal [3] - 15:12, 16:8, 7:6, 7:24, 8:2, 45:13, 66:3 45:15, 46:23, 47:4, Dean [1] - 3:16 47:23, 48:19, 50:1, debate [1] - 31:17 52:14, 52:15, 52:17, deceased [1] - 8:11 53:18, 54:1, 54:21, deception [2] - 23:1, 55:4, 55:5, 66:22, 23:21 67:2 decide [5] - 25:1, depth [1] - 60:2 25:4, 25:9, 26:15, derive [1] - 7:22 31:19 described [1] - 18:10 decided [2] - 3:22, designate [1] - 15:22 20:22 designated [5] - 8:4, declaration [8] -9:3, 9:4, 9:9 18:25, 22:10, 22:23, desire [1] - 49:7 23:20, 24:9, 32:18, desires [1] - 51:22 33:6, 35:21 Despite [1] - 27:8 DEFENDANT [2] despite [1] - 27:19 detail [2] - 59:8, 61:2 detailed [1] - 61:4 details [2] - 14:19, 14:20 determine [6] -20:16, 30:16, 49:17, 51:9, 58:25, 59:25 devastating [1] -13:21 die [1] - 67:4 difference [2] -

51:18, 53:11

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

different [3] - 13:7, 51:17, 63:15 difficult [8] - 25:17, 30:3, 36:3, 36:14, 50:13, 52:7, 58:23, 66:2 diligence [2] - 55:19, 55:20 diligent [1] - 50:18 diligently [1] - 56:15 directly [1] - 44:23 disadvantages [1] disagree [3] - 29:10, 50:6, 50:17 disciplinary [5] -56:23, 58:25, 60:13, 64:8, 65:23 disciplined [4] -59:1, 59:8, 59:9 discovery [33] - 3:24, 4:6, 4:9, 4:15, 4:25, 5:15, 6:13, 7:11, 12:17, 13:17, 16:2, 17:6, 17:21, 21:3, 22:17, 26:4, 26:13, 32:23, 33:7, 34:16, 37:15, 39:13, 43:2, 43:4, 55:21, 56:3, 56:18, 56:23, 59:17, 59:19, 65:6, 66:20, 66:23 Discreet [1] - 57:9 discrete [1] - 56:22 discussed [4] - 4:12, 4:15, 21:23, 63:23 discussing [1] - 14:3 discussion [2] -16:6, 16:7 disk [1] - 37:19 dismissed [1] - 60:3 dispute [4] - 14:16, 25:7, 65:9, 65:11 distress [2] - 15:14, 39:25 district [2] - 47:20, 48:7 District [3] - 2:9, 44:15, 62:16 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:11 divide [1] - 16:4 divides [1] - 38:5 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 **DNP**[1] - 19:18 docu[1] - 58:9 document [21] -12:3, 12:10, 17:16, 17:18, 20:4, 20:8, 20:10, 21:17, 21:19,

documentation [2] -20:16, 50:4 Documents [2] -55:14, 57:10 documents [101] -12:4, 12:18, 17:2, 17:12, 17:13, 17:14, 17:19, 17:22, 17:23, 18:16, 19:3, 19:9, 19:23, 19:24, 20:23, 21:14, 21:25, 22:21, 23:14, 23:15, 24:5, 24:14, 24:15, 24:22, 25:2, 25:9, 25:20, 26:15, 26:20, 27:6, 27:8, 27:16, 27:19, 28:17, 28:23, 29:21, 30:5, 30:16, 30:23, 31:5, 31:8, 31:10, 31:11, 32:13, 32:20, 33:21, 34:3, 37:2, 37:4, 37:17, 38:13, 39:20, 41:1, 41:14, 42:6, 42:9, 42:14, 42:21, 43:5, 43:10, 44:9, 44:14, 45:4, 48:20, 54:14, 54:15, 56:23, 56:24, 57:14, 57:18, 58:13, 58:19, 60:2, 60:4, 60:7, 60:9, 60:23, 61:11, 61:17, 61:19, 62:7, 63:10, 63:12, 63:15, 63:18, 63:21, 63:22, 63:24, 63:25, 64:1, 65:16, 65:25, 66:2, 66:4, 66:8, 66:12 done [23] - 7:25, 18:6, 21:2, 21:7, 22:16, 22:18, 22:19, 24:9, 26:8, 26:12, 27:9, 32:22, 33:24, 33:25, 35:25, 40:22, 46:24, 49:18, 53:1, 59:11, 64:15, 66:9 **Donley** [4] - 3:12, 4:20, 8:22, 31:9 **DONLEY** [38] - 2:3, 3:12, 4:17, 4:19, 6:10, 6:16, 6:21, 6:23, 9:2, 18:15, 18:23, 19:7, 19:13, 19:24, 20:7, 23:7, 23:19, 24:15, 31:23, 31:25, 32:6, 33:3, 33:6, 33:19, 36:13,

23:17, 23:19, 28:20,

33:1, 36:16, 38:7,

38:9, 43:10, 56:3,

56:22, 60:15, 60:17

42:1, 42:3, 44:1, 44:3, 44:19, 45:2, 45:7, 45:9, 48:6, 48:14, 48:16, 53:7, Donley's [2] - 5:8, 29:19 Donovan [4] - 34:22, 34:23. 35:4 doubt [1] - 40:12 down [12] - 5:9, 7:4, 7:5, 14:25, 19:19, 20:11, 31:2, 37:8, 58:2, 65:3, 65:17 **DP**[1] - 19:18 draft [2] - 42:23, 42:25 drafts [1] - 39:9 dragged [1] - 17:8 drop [1] - 4:23 dropped [2] - 4:22, 4:24 dropping [2] - 3:20, 5:5 drove [1] - 30:14 dubious [1] - 64:9 due [3] - 43:1, 43:12, 43:14 during [1] - 15:17

Ε

e-mail [5] - 5:9, 29:7, 61:23, 61:24, 64:24 e-mails [1] - 59:14 early [2] - 53:12, 61:1 easy [1] - 37:17 eaten [1] - 14:20 economic [1] - 51:10 economically [1] -46:4 educated [1] - 45:17 effect [4] - 4:13, 13:21, 40:10, 52:21 effective [4] - 10:12, 29:23, 30:6, 47:8 effectively [1] -52:18 efficient [2] - 10:12, 14:15 effort [3] - 51:24, 56:7, 56:14 efforts [3] - 49:23, 50:7, 56:18 either [7] - 7:23, 20:4, 47:7, 49:4, 55:3, 62:13, 66:10 electronic [14] - 4:9, 17:2, 17:21, 21:3,

22:17, 26:4, 26:13, 27:18, 32:23, 37:15, 59:17, 59:19, 59:21, 59:24 electronically [2] -38:13, 59:21 **ELLISON** [1] - 1:10 Emanuel [2] - 1:18, 11:5 Embassy [7] - 46:21, 46:23, 47:4, 48:2, 48:25, 50:22, 51:6 emotional [4] -15:14, 39:24, 40:2, 40:3 employed [1] - 59:4 employee [2] -58:24, 58:25 employees [2] -33:12, 61:25 employment [4] -59:5, 59:20, 59:22, 59:25 enable [1] - 52:18 enacted [1] - 37:20 end [8] - 26:2, 26:3, 28:22, 38:23, 39:12, 44:18, 64:18, 67:4 ended [1] - 34:2 enormous [1] -25:12 enslavement.. [1] -17:15 entail [1] - 60:8 entered [1] - 39:1 **enthusia** [1] - 46:15 entire [2] - 14:13, 20:12 entirety [1] - 28:20 entities [1] - 5:6 entitled [12] - 6:20, 7:12, 7:13, 7:14, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 12:11, 48:12, 48:16, 49:8, 68:1 equal [2] - 19:5, 19:6 equitable [1] - 7:17 especially [2] -32:17, 57:13 essentially [1] -30:17 established [1] -27:1 estimate [1] - 59:6 ET [2] - 1:4, 1:8 et [1] - 1:14

Ethics [3] - 43:18,

44:7, 44:10

61:18

evaluation [1] -

evening [2] - 53:13, 57:5 event [1] - 15:2 evidence [8] - 30:24, 34:7, 35:8, 35:25, 37:12, 53:24, 54:12, 62:17 Evidence [1] - 18:10 exact [1] - 43:7 exactly [4] - 34:10, 41:5, 46:8, 65:2 examination [3] -14:2, 14:15, 15:11 examine [1] - 15:6 example [4] - 28:21, 30:3, 33:10, 63:20 exceeded [1] - 5:21 exceeding [1] - 7:10 except [1] - 61:13 Except [1] - 13:12 exception [1] - 17:7 excessive [2] - 56:4, 66:24 exist [4] - 13:8, 13:9, 39:9, 39:10 existed [1] - 57:25 exists [1] - 60:6 expect [1] - 47:11 expediting [1] -45:14 expenses [2] -49:11, 49:25 expensive [3] - 27:6, 27:8, 52:10 experience [1] -47:21 explain [1] - 41:20 expressed [2] -25:18, 46:25 extended [2] - 6:15, 6:17 extension [2] -24:13, 43:13 extensions [1] -15:10 extent [1] - 47:1 eye [5] - 48:17, 49:16, 52:19, 54:2 eyes [1] - 54:11 eyewitness [1] -54:16 eyewitnesses [3] -

32:14, 32:16, 34:8 **F**

F.2d [2] - 62:23, 62:25 facilitate [1] - 57:18

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

facilities [1] - 46:22 facing [1] - 65:6 fact [13] - 8:19, 16:12, 25:25, 26:11, 27:20, 35:7, 39:15, 39:23, 52:3, 59:21, 60:2, 60:4, 64:1 facts [6] - 7:4, 9:17, 12:10, 15:13, 29:11 factual [2] - 31:16, 65:14 failure [1] - 57:11 fair [8] - 10:15, 10:18, 15:24, 31:19, 32:24, 32:25, 55:1, 55:2 fall [1] - 50:22 FALLS [1] - 3:9 falls [1] - 39:12 Falls [1] - 3:9 family [4] - 8:21, 40:11, 49:1, 51:9 far [13] - 9:4, 13:20, 24:20, 35:6, 36:19, 43:9, 46:10, 49:23, 58:13, 58:21, 59:10, 60:8, 66:4 Farrell [2] - 3:15, 4:15 **FARRELL** [1] - 2:4 fast [4] - 9:6, 30:9, 62:2, 62:19 fault [1] - 33:23 Federal [2] - 18:10, 18:11 federal [2] - 30:24, 37:12 feet [1] - 17:8 few [7] - 9:16, 23:5, 36:14, 42:5, 42:20, 53:19, 64:5 fewer [1] - 55:22 Fifth [1] - 62:22 Figueroa [1] - 1:18 file [7] - 18:18, 34:14, 36:2, 36:5, 58:25, 60:15, 61:9 filed [25] - 18:17, 19:10, 20:25, 21:6, 27:20, 32:21, 33:8, 33:14, 34:15, 35:1, 35:20, 35:21, 35:22, 36:16, 36:20, 36:22, 41:23, 43:6, 43:15, 47:20, 49:15, 54:4, 57:4, 58:15, 64:24 files [3] - 58:12, 62:8, 66:9 filing [2] - 58:16, 61:1

filings [1] - 3:19 filled [1] - 14:6 final [8] - 12:20, 12:24, 13:2, 13:4, 13:12, 37:7, 37:9, 39:15 finally [3] - 24:19, 34:2, 61:9 First [2] - 11:8, 33:8 first [10] - 13:25, 19:16, 26:17, 32:8, 33:16, 33:19, 56:9, 57:21, 57:23, 64:24 five [2] - 3:20, 20:13 flew [1] - 5:9 Floor [1] - 1:19 focus [1] - 53:2 focused [1] - 32:24 food [1] - 14:20 **FOR** [3] - 1:13, 1:17, 2:3 force [1] - 55:3 foregoing [1] - 68:1 foreign [1] - 56:24 forgot [1] - 53:10 form [1] - 17:2 format [2] - 44:6, 59:24 forth [3] - 12:5, 59:4, forum [5] - 47:13, 47:14, 47:15, 47:16, 47:23 forward [3] - 51:20, 65:2, 65:9 four [1] - 3:20 fourth [1] - 33:9 Fourth [3] - 62:22, 62:25, 63:1 framed [1] - 12:19 frankly [1] - 49:7 free [1] - 15:22 Friday [1] - 56:10 from.. [1] - 50:12 front [1] - 42:5 frustrating [1] -61:21 Fryszman [17] - 3:5, 20:2, 26:3, 27:7, 29:13, 32:11, 34:9, 34:13, 36:24, 42:22, 54:8, 54:12, 57:15, 59:12, 60:12, 66:6, 66:15 FRYSZMAN [61] -1:13, 3:5, 3:22, 4:5, 4:11, 4:14, 5:8, 7:9,

7:16, 8:7, 8:9, 8:14,

8:24, 9:3, 9:8, 9:19,

10:1, 10:7, 16:25,

17:11, 18:1, 28:1, 28:9, 29:2, 29:18, 30:2, 30:10, 30:12, 30:22, 31:3, 36:25, 37:7, 37:24, 38:6, 38:19, 39:1, 39:5, 39:10, 39:18, 40:15, 40:25, 41:4, 41:8, 41:13, 41:19, 43:22, 46:6, 46:12, 46:18, 57:1, 57:4, 60:21, 62:3, 62:11, 62:20, 62:25, 63:16, 64:5, 64:10, 64:17, 65:5 full [6] - 8:15, 8:17, 10:15, 56:7, 56:15, 61:5 fully [5] - 39:22, 45:23, 46:3, 52:9, 59:20 funny [1] - 27:4 future [1] - 38:9

G

gas [1] - 10:21 gather [1] - 3:20 generally [1] - 5:19 generated [1] - 28:5 geographic [1] -52:6 given [5] - 21:15, 23:11, 29:20, 58:9, 60:4 Given [1] - 60:2 glad [2] - 26:17, 42:14 goal [1] - 63:5 government [8] -46:3, 46:12, 46:13, 46:15, 46:16, 51:13 Government [2] -63:25, 66:7 government-togovernment [1] -51:13 grab [1] - 33:1 grabbed [1] - 33:7 grant [2] - 46:13, 66:17 granted [3] - 43:13, 46:11, 50:17 granting [1] - 48:3 Graves [2] - 3:16, 26:1 ground [1] - 14:17 grounds [1] - 40:4 group [2] - 15:16, 28:11

groups [1] - 7:3
guarantee [1] - 18:4
guess [10] - 4:14,
5:17, 6:3, 17:11,
23:16, 30:22, 41:10,
41:21, 46:7, 60:22
Gurung [21] - 10:2,
10:16, 10:19, 11:9,
12:15, 12:20, 12:25,
13:20, 14:3, 14:21,
15:6, 15:15, 15:25,
34:17, 35:2, 35:3,
35:7, 39:10, 42:22,
42:24, 42:25
Gurung's [1] - 40:18

Н

H-09-1237 [1] - 1:4 half [4] - 10:2, 10:10, 11:13, 26:5 Halliburton [18] -17:2, 57:14, 57:16, 57:18, 57:21, 58:8, 58:9, 58:11, 58:17, 61:12, 61:14, 61:20, 62:5, 63:18, 63:24, 66:12, 66:13, 66:16 Halliburton's [3] -57:17, 63:12, 63:14 hand [4] - 19:20, 20:9, 22:3, 22:22 handed [3] - 19:8, 21:20, 23:19 handle [1] - 24:16 Handley [5] - 19:10, 19:15, 23:5, 28:2, 28:5 Handley's [1] - 20:14 handpick [1] - 59:15 handwritten [3] -13:1, 13:6, 59:23 handwrote [1] - 12:8 happy [2] - 64:17, 64:20 hard [4] - 30:16, 51:9, 67:1, 67:17 harder [1] - 30:2 hardship [1] - 10:19 hardships [1] - 45:17 harmed [1] - 35:15 harms [1] - 15:18 Hattaway [1] - 62:23 hear [2] - 18:13, 51:11 heard [11] - 14:14, 20:2, 27:2, 27:16, 29:5, 31:12, 42:22, 48:5, 48:9, 54:2,

58:17 hearing [6] - 17:20, 25:24, 32:9, 53:16, 53:17. 67:4 hearings [1] - 60:12 heels [1] - 5:17 held [8] - 14:21, 14:22, 15:7, 16:16, 40:5, 40:9, 62:12, 62:17 help [5] - 16:15, 21:12, 25:15, 51:3, 55:3 highlighted [3] -20:14, 20:20, 33:20 highly [2] - 59:22, 62:21 hits [26] - 19:20, 20:3, 20:9, 20:11, 21:14, 21:22, 22:3, 22:5, 22:9, 22:12, 23:13, 23:14, 24:3, 24:5, 25:13, 28:5, 28:10, 28:13, 28:15, 28:19, 29:20, 31:8, 31:11, 33:20 Hittner [4] - 44:6, 44:8, 44:11, 45:3 Hittner's [1] - 44:5 HOCHMUTH [2] -2:4, 3:15 Hochmuth [1] - 3:15 Hoffman [1] - 11:4 hold [1] - 16:14 holiday [1] - 16:16 home [1] - 49:2 hometown [1] - 52:5 honestly [1] - 50:15 honor [2] - 45:7, 57:8 Honor [114] - 3:6, 4:17, 4:19, 5:2, 6:10, 6:12, 6:16, 6:21, 7:2, 7:9, 9:2, 9:19, 10:1, 10:9, 11:8, 11:14, 12:13, 13:3, 13:10, 16:25, 18:15, 18:18, 18:20, 18:23, 19:2, 19:9, 19:14, 19:19, 19:25, 20:7, 20:18, 20:21, 20:25, 21:3, 21:4, 21:7, 21:12, 21:17, 21:18, 22:4, 22:8, 22:14, 22:18, 22:21, 22:24, 23:4, 23:7, 23:12, 23:15, 24:6, 24:13, 24:16, 25:2, 25:14, 27:2, 27:10, 27:14, 27:25, 28:1, 31:14, 31:23, 32:1, 32:6, 32:25,

Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

61:13

33:23, 34:15, 35:16, 36:2, 36:6, 40:25, 42:1, 42:3, 43:23, 44:1, 44:3, 44:8, 44:20, 45:3, 46:20, 47:7, 47:14, 48:6, 48:11, 49:3, 49:11, 49:24. 50:2. 50:10. 50:21, 51:15, 51:19, 51:23, 52:15, 52:20, 53:6, 53:7, 53:9, 53:14, 53:21, 54:21, 54:24, 55:2, 55:21, 56:6, 58:4, 59:4, 60:10, 60:11, 60:21, 64:23, 65:4, 66:4, 67:5, 67:7 HONORABLE [1] -1:10 hope [1] - 46:18 Hopefully [1] - 7:14 hopefully [1] - 63:16 hoping [1] - 9:15 horrid [1] - 14:22 Hostetler [3] - 2:4, 3:14. 3:16 Hostetler's [2] -24:25, 31:5 hosting [1] - 47:4 Hotline [5] - 43:18, 44:7, 44:10, 62:15, 63:20 hour [1] - 26:5 hours [13] - 5:23, 7:21, 7:24, 9:21, 9:22, 10:1, 10:10, 10:17, 11:13, 11:19, 11:24, 16:4, 54:22 house [1] - 3:16 housed [1] - 61:20 Houston [11] - 2:6, 2:10, 45:13, 48:19, 49:22, 51:21, 52:4, 52:23, 54:4, 67:2, 67:8 HOUSTON [2] - 1:2, 1:8 huge [1] - 45:12 human [6] - 22:25, 23:3, 23:7, 23:8, 23:13, 66:1

1

idea [2] - 62:6, 66:14 identically [1] - 5:7 identified [3] - 9:10, 12:16, 64:6 ignored [2] - 61:4, 65:10 ignoring [1] - 5:8 imagine [1] - 7:7 immediately [1] -53:1 impact [1] - 46:19 impasse [2] - 30:22, 41:22 important [6] -10:14, 11:16, 13:17, 15:10, 16:10, 41:17 in-between [1] -47:24 in-depth [1] - 60:2 in-house [1] - 3:16 inaccurate [1] -27:12 inappropriate [1] -42:17 incidents [1] - 9:11 inclined [1] - 66:22 include [3] - 37:2, 37:4, 55:25 included [2] - 28:24, 33:11 includes [2] - 14:5, 55:22 including [4] - 15:3, 15:4, 32:2, 49:13 income [1] - 50:12 inconsistent [1] -48:22 indeed [1] - 21:3 indicated [2] - 3:20, 12:25 indicates [1] - 50:8 individual [1] - 44:22 individuals [5] -8:22, 15:4, 15:7, 56:1, 59:5 Indonesia [1] - 54:14 information [3] -15:23, 27:11, 44:24 initial [2] - 28:3 inquiry [1] - 17:25

individuals [5] 8:22, 15:4, 15:7,
56:1, 59:5
Indonesia [1] - 54:14
information [3] 15:23, 27:11, 44:24
initial [2] - 28:3
inquiry [1] - 17:25
inspection [1] - 45:4
instance [1] - 20:10
instances [1] - 59:12
instead [1] - 9:21
Instead [3] - 27:3,
32:21, 33:3
intended [1] - 28:20
intent [3] - 62:14,
62:21, 63:8
interest [1] - 45:23

interests [2] - 40:16,

interim [1] - 56:12

internal [1] - 66:3

Internet [2] - 8:12,

51:21

interpreter [2] -49:19, 55:10 interrog [1] - 56:8 interrogatories [6] -55:24, 56:9, 56:11, 56:13, 61:21, 62:1 interrogatory [2] -8:10, 8:14 interviewing [1] -41:14 investigations [4] -56:24, 65:25, 66:3, 66:9 involved [1] - 9:22 involvement [1] - 6:2 Iraq [3] - 14:11, 35:14, 64:12 irrelevant [1] - 62:21 issue [29] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:7, 13:19, 15:11, 15:19, 15:21, 18:17, 27:3, 33:11, 36:25, 39:17, 40:8, 44:7, 45:12, 45:19, 52:6, 56:22, 57:20, 57:24, 58:11, 58:16, 58:23, 62:11, 62:21, 63:11, 64:23, 67:8 issues [14] - 4:5, 5:24, 6:12, 12:3, 14:5, 15:3, 15:25, 16:8, 24:2, 26:7, 29:10, 59:3, 63:8, 65:3 **IT** [1] - 26:1 items [1] - 12:2 iteration [1] - 31:7

J

itself [1] - 34:13

iterations [1] - 29:22

Jersey [1] - 10:22 **job** [4] - 16:17, 51:10, 61:15 Jordan [1] - 47:15 JOSEPH [1] - 1:17 journey [1] - 14:10 Judge [12] - 26:14, 33:7, 33:13, 34:8, 35:23, 36:15, 44:5, 44:8, 44:11, 45:3, 54:6 JUDGE [1] - 1:11 judgement [1] -34:14 judgment [12] - 5:15, 27:20, 31:19, 34:7,

36:6, 36:15, 36:18, 38:12, 40:23, 43:12, 45:19, 52:22 July [3] - 29:2, 37:25, 41:2 June [1] - 60:23 Justin [1] - 3:9

Κ

Kaleek [1] - 35:12

Kathmandu [1] -

46:23

KAY [1] - 2:9 Kay [1] - 68:4 KBR [90] - 2:3, 3:12, 3:16, 4:21, 6:6, 8:19, 9:3, 9:4, 9:9, 9:10, 11:20, 12:15, 14:23, 16:21, 17:2, 17:7, 17:19, 18:14, 18:25, 19:17, 20:15, 20:24, 21:2, 21:6, 21:13, 22:11, 22:16, 23:16, 23:21, 24:9, 25:14, 26:2, 26:12, 26:15, 27:5, 27:9, 27:10, 28:3, 28:23, 29:4, 30:20, 31:21, 31:25, 32:16, 32:22, 32:24, 34:12, 34:13, 34:19, 34:21, 34:22, 35:2, 35:3, 35:6, 35:18, 35:22, 36:3, 36:19, 37:23, 38:9, 41:6, 43:24, 48:7, 49:13, 49:15, 50:5, 50:11, 52:5, 53:19, 53:21, 54:5, 54:7, 54:10, 55:23, 56:9, 56:12, 57:20, 58:8, 59:4, 60:3, 61:14, 63:20, 64:2, 66:1, 66:4, 66:5, 66:10 KBR's [14] - 5:12, 26:9, 32:9, 33:10, 33:23, 43:18, 44:13, 49:5, 49:25, 55:1, 55:5, 58:20, 67:1 KBR-Halliburton [1] - 17:2 keep [4] - 15:23, 35:17, 53:15, 58:5 keeps [1] - 34:12 **KEITH** [1] - 1:10 Kellogg [1] - 56:19 kept [2] - 14:9, 24:17 key [4] - 29:22,

33:10, 33:11, 63:8

Keyes [1] - 62:16 keyword [1] - 38:14 kidding [1] - 67:6 kidnapping [1] - 8:11 kind [5] - 9:15, 14:20, 14:24, 40:23, 41:24 kinds [3] - 23:8, 42:9, 51:11 knowing [2] - 8:4, knowingly [1] - 63:4 knowledge [8] -5:14, 7:3, 8:5, 8:10, 8:15, 9:11, 62:13, 63:8 known [1] - 61:16 knows [2] - 59:12, 59:16

L

LA[1] - 11:5 labor [2] - 23:2, 23:22 lack [1] - 53:24 lag [1] - 41:12 Land [1] - 60:13 Land's [2] - 60:15, 60:16 large [1] - 52:4 largely [1] - 54:13 last [9] - 13:21, 17:19, 27:15, 32:2, 33:9, 41:2, 41:5, 41:6 late [1] - 53:13 latest [1] - 48:24 latitude [1] - 55:8 Law [1] - 44:21 law [6] - 37:12, 39:19, 43:7, 47:18, 52:13, 52:21 lawsuit [6] - 10:14, 43:7, 46:3, 46:21, 47:11, 51:20 lawyer [3] - 26:4, 44:18, 48:11 lawyers [3] - 11:3, 38:22, 48:9 Leamon [3] - 44:4, 44:8, 45:3 **LEAMON**[1] - 44:5 lean [1] - 48:3 least [8] - 5:22, 7:20, 32:1, 44:15, 46:24, 47:23, 48:11, 52:23 leave [5] - 5:13, 6:6, 35:2, 35:7, 35:8

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

mechanism [1] -

medical [1] - 15:4

meet [2] - 50:13,

42:15

57:12

left [7] - 15:5, 15:9, 27:14, 56:16, 63:18, 63:25 Legal [3] - 44:19, 44:23 legal [1] - 44:20 legitimate [1] - 51:20 length [1] - 10:18 less [1] - 46:15 letter [12] - 19:10, 19:15, 20:14, 23:5, 25:18, 28:2, 45:22, 46:18, 51:19, 61:11, 65:13, 65:18 letters [3] - 24:17, 61:6, 65:10 life [3] - 14:4, 14:12, 15:17 likelihood [1] - 51:6 limit [3] - 10:6, 10:9, 11:14 Limited [1] - 55:14 limited [1] - 60:4 limits [1] - 5:20 line [3] - 29:18, 33:9 link [8] - 6:1, 32:3, 46:24, 47:25, 49:11, 49:19, 54:22, 67:3 list [3] - 20:11, 20:12, 37.9 listed [2] - 6:24, 7:3 litany [1] - 37:7 litigants [1] - 46:22 litigated [1] - 45:23 live [6] - 31:21, 36:11, 47:5, 47:24, 48:1, 55:6 lived [2] - 40:12, 50:11 lives [1] - 11:1 **LLP** [1] - 2:4 located [3] - 11:3, 11:5, 56:1 location [5] - 11:11, 47:24, 64:14, 67:9, 67:12 Loewe [3] - 18:22, 29:7, 64:24 log [1] - 66:11 loggerheads [1] -8:2 logical [1] - 11:11 look [13] - 29:7, 34:2, 38:7, 45:6, 48:16, 48:19, 49:15, 49:16, 52:18, 54:1, 54:11, 66:7, 67:17 looked [1] - 62:7 looking [2] - 34:9, 36:9

Los [5] - 1:19, 10:12, 10:24, 11:3, 11:11 loss [1] - 31:15 lost [1] - 13:5 loud [2] - 12:24, 13:2 Louisiana [1] - 2:5 low [4] - 10:21, 28:13, 28:19, 50:12 Lowe [1] - 38:21 Lowes [4] - 21:10, 25:23, 26:1

M

mail [5] - 5:9, 29:7, 61:23, 61:24, 64:24 mails [1] - 59:14 main [1] - 45:19 Maoist [1] - 39:23 March [5] - 22:1, 23:10, 23:23, 54:9 Master [2] - 63:17, 64:1 material [1] - 40:3 materials [1] - 39:8 Matt [2] - 19:10, 41:19 matter [3] - 10:14, 14:21, 14:24 mattered [1] - 14:23 Matthew [1] - 28:2 **MAUREEN** [1] - 1:13 Maureen [1] - 3:7 McGowen [1] - 27:22 McOwen [39] - 1:13, 3:7, 10:7, 10:9, 11:2, 12:13, 13:10, 13:12, 13:16, 27:22, 27:23, 27:24, 27:25, 39:8, 39:19, 46:20, 47:7, 47:13, 47:21, 50:2, 50:4, 50:15, 50:21, 50:25, 51:4, 51:7, 51:15, 52:1, 52:13. 53:6, 54:2, 55:15, 55:16, 55:19, 56:6, 61:13, 67:5 mean [18] - 4:14, 6:8, 7:25, 8:20, 10:24, 10:25, 29:6, 39:7, 40:6, 45:19, 46:3, 47:18, 50:6, 51:8, 52:10, 52:12, 63:11, 64:15 means [1] - 23:14 meant [2] - 37:3, 61:24 mechanical [1] -1:24

members [2] - 8:22, 40:11 memory [1] - 41:9 Mengis [7] - 3:13, 19:11, 26:1, 26:21, 29:5, 57:7, 64:22 **MENGIS** [9] - 2:3, 29:12, 57:8, 57:23, 58:4, 58:7, 64:23, 65:11, 66:4 Mengis' [1] - 61:10 mention [1] - 53:10 merely [1] - 63:4 meritorious [1] -13:22 message [1] - 29:4 met [1] - 64:25 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 might [7] - 4:13, 23:15, 25:18, 26:21, 46:11, 59:11, 59:23 Mike [3] - 3:13, 19:11, 35:12 mike [1] - 4:4 million [3] - 24:21, 25:3, 38:10 Milstein [6] - 1:14, 19:11, 19:21, 20:12, 20:14, 20:22 mind [1] - 40:21 minimum [1] - 16:16 minutes [1] - 26:5 misleading [1] -27:13 misrepresentation [1] - 57:13 misrepresentations [1] - 18:16 misses [1] - 16:16 modern [1] - 18:9 moment [1] - 36:21 moments [1] - 23:5 Monday [1] - 43:16 money [2] - 27:5, 54:7 months [3] - 41:11, 55:20, 61:21 mooted [2] - 58:14, 58:15 Moreover [1] - 15:21 morning [1] - 53:12 most [9] - 8:1, 11:15,

motion [38] - 5:13, 5:14, 6:6, 6:11, 6:17, 12:2, 16:19, 18:24, 21:1, 21:5, 22:11, 22:23, 23:20, 24:8, 25:8, 27:20, 32:8, 32:9, 33:3, 34:6, 34:14, 35:20, 36:1, 36:6, 36:15, 40:23, 43:15, 43:19, 57:24, 58:15, 59:13, 61:9, 64:24, 65:1, 65:2, 67:1, 67:3 motions [9] - 4:9, 4:13, 5:12, 5:15, 6:4, 41:22, 41:24, 56:3, 67:18 move [2] - 37:19, 65:7 MR [66] - 1:17, 2:3, 2:3, 2:4, 3:9, 3:12, 3:15, 4:17, 4:19, 5:2, 5:4, 6:10, 6:16, 6:21, 6:23, 9:2, 11:8, 11:19, 11:23, 11:25, 13:25, 14:10, 15:1, 18:15, 18:23, 19:7, 19:13, 19:24, 20:7, 23:7, 23:19, 24:15, 29:12, 31:14, 31:23, 31:25, 32:6, 33:3, 33:6, 33:19, 36:13, 42:1, 42:3, 42:18, 42:20, 44:1, 44:3, 44:19, 45:2, 45:7, 45:9, 48:6, 48:14, 48:16, 52:1, 53:7, 53:9, 57:8, 57:23, 58:4, 58:7, 64:23, 65:11, 66:4, 67:7, 67:15 MS [90] - 1:13, 1:13, 2:9, 3:5, 3:7, 3:22, 4:5, 4:11, 4:14, 5:8, 7:9, 7:16, 8:7, 8:9, 8:14, 8:24, 9:3, 9:8, 9:19, 10:1, 10:7, 10:9, 11:2, 12:13, 13:10, 13:12, 13:16, 16:25, 17:11, 18:1, 27:23, 27:25, 28:1, 28:9, 29:2, 29:18, 30:2, 30:10, 30:12, 30:22, 31:3, 36:25, 37:7, 37:24, 38:6,

Most [2] - 22:15,

Motion [5] - 43:18,

55:13, 57:9, 65:13,

24:4

66:17

38:19, 39:1, 39:5, 39:10, 39:18, 40:15, 40:25, 41:4, 41:8, 41:13, 41:19, 43:22, 46:6, 46:12, 46:18, 46:20, 47:7, 47:13, 47:21, 50:2, 50:4, 50:15. 50:21. 50:25. 51:4, 51:7, 51:15, 52:13, 53:6, 55:16, 55:19, 56:6, 57:1, 57:4, 60:21, 62:3, 62:11, 62:20, 62:25, 63:16, 64:5, 64:10, 64:17, 65:5, 67:5 mud [1] - 32:22 must [2] - 32:24, 33:13

Ν

naive [1] - 50:11

name [1] - 35:24 names [3] - 8:10, 30:14, 33:12 narrow [10] - 3:24, 4:5, 7:4, 7:5, 25:15, 25:19, 37:8, 64:9, 64:13 narrowed [2] - 64:10, 65:23 narrower [1] - 64:21 nationals [2] - 30:13, 59:2 Nations [1] - 12:22 nature [2] - 38:3, 57:14 near [2] - 30:4 necessarily [1] -44:18 necessary [2] -11:13, 12:15 need [24] - 4:10, 6:25, 7:20, 8:2, 8:17, 8:18, 9:16, 16:11, 22:15, 28:10, 30:25, 36:17, 36:18, 48:1, 49:5, 49:11, 49:15, 49:20, 49:25, 53:25, 54:1, 54:11, 66:19 needed [3] - 7:24, 7:25, 36:14 needing [1] - 6:2 Nepal [26] - 11:10, 14:10, 15:16, 15:17, 34:22, 41:13, 41:19, 45:18, 45:25, 46:3, 46:13, 47:4, 47:9, 47:11, 47:15, 49:1,

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

16:10, 20:18, 21:20,

24:10, 25:2, 41:14,

49:6, 49:8, 49:10, 50:1, 50:11, 50:13, 51:12, 53:11, 55:5, 56:1 Nepalese [1] - 51:2 Nepali [2] - 12:24, 51:18 **Nepalis** [1] - 50:9 never [7] - 17:16, 17:18, 27:2, 28:20, 29:5, 30:1, 54:6 New [6] - 1:14, 10:21, 11:1, 11:10, 11:12, 14:12 news [1] - 57:25 next [4] - 16:18, 22:1, 23:19, 43:17 Next [1] - 19:17 nine [5] - 10:2, 10:10, 11:13, 59:7, 59:14 **nobody** [1] - 6:3 None [1] - 14:6 none [4] - 15:11, 15:20, 33:11, 34:5 Nonelectronic [1] -55:14 normal [1] - 5:20 normally [1] - 47:19 noted [1] - 60:11 notes [13] - 13:1, 13:3, 13:5, 13:6, 16:7, 18:2, 18:3, 31:6, 38:8, 38:15, 39:11, 39:12, 39:14 **nothing** [7] - 27:10, 32:6, 32:22, 42:7, 42:9, 42:10, 58:21 Nothing [1] - 19:2 **notice** [1] - 54:3 noticed [1] - 7:12 Number [1] - 57:10 number [20] - 5:21, 7:22, 20:3, 20:9, 20:10, 21:14, 22:5, 22:12, 23:25, 25:11, 25:12, 25:20, 28:10, 31:11, 40:6, 53:2, 61:2, 61:3, 61:6 numbers [9] - 17:13, 17:18, 17:22, 28:13, 29:20, 30:5, 30:8, 30:15, 64:11 NW [1] - 1:14

0

objection [1] - 7:9 **obligated** [1] - 43:5

obligation [6] -39:14, 43:1, 43:8, 43:9, 57:24, 58:10 observed [1] - 18:3 observer [1] - 18:3 obtain [5] - 17:2, 44:9, 50:7, 51:22, 52:22 obtained [1] - 50:12 obtaining [1] - 51:4 obviously [2] -53:21, 65:17 occasions [1] - 32:1 October [9] - 12:22, 19:10, 19:22, 20:21, 21:23, 23:4, 23:23, 43:14, 43:16 **OF** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 offered [1] - 36:16 Office [3] - 63:25, 66:7 office [2] - 31:5, 38:7 Offices [1] - 24:25 offices [2] - 17:3, 18:2 **OFFICIAL** [1] - 2:9 Official [1] - 68:5 officials [2] - 51:2, 51:9 old [1] - 18:8 once [2] - 34:20, 46:2 one [42] - 3:19, 5:18, 5:19, 9:24, 12:13, 13:23, 18:7, 21:10, 21:21, 23:3, 25:14, 27:19, 30:25, 31:5, 33:1, 35:14, 35:15, 38:10, 38:21, 41:13, 42:18, 44:4, 44:9, 44:15, 52:6, 55:16, 57:10, 58:23, 59:7, 60:6, 60:10, 60:11, 60:12, 60:18, 60:22, 63:8, 66:23, 67:7 One [3] - 6:12, 12:4, 26:17 ones [2] - 63:22, 67:1

opportunities [1] -

opportunity [4] -

10:15, 40:19, 51:1,

oppose [1] - 16:23

opposed [2] - 38:4,

opposing [1] - 47:19

opposite [1] - 43:7

orally [1] - 29:13

51:10

52:24

64:19

order [4] - 6:3, 15:22, 40:13, 67:3 ordered [1] - 17:1 original [2] - 13:5, 13:6 otherwise [1] - 31:18 ought [1] - 49:21 ourselves [1] - 29:24 outside [1] - 39:12 outweigh [1] - 60:8 outweighs [1] - 59:10 overlap [1] - 15:13 own [3] - 12:1, 29:23, 66:23

Ρ

P.M [1] - 1:8 page [12] - 19:13, 19:14, 22:6, 22:12, 23:11, 23:12, 24:1, 24:2, 49:14, 54:5, 62:14, 65:13 pages [11] - 20:13, 22:4, 23:15, 23:17, 23:18, 23:25, 24:21, 38:10, 59:6, 61:1 paper [2] - 3:18, 25:3 papers [15] - 6:8, 12:5, 14:18, 32:21, 33:14, 35:1, 40:7, 43:21, 43:22, 44:3, 44:12, 46:10, 57:4, 59:4, 61:5 paragraph [1] - 33:8 paralegal [1] - 44:21 part [3] - 21:20, 28:19, 42:3 participated [1] -24:12 particular [1] - 45:17 particularly [2] -10:20, 53:1 parties [9] - 15:16, 16:1, 16:2, 19:25, 38:5, 51:21, 54:23, 55:4, 66:22 Partner [1] - 20:19 Partners [4] - 3:10, 5:6, 11:6, 19:18 **PARTNERS** [2] - 1:8, party [2] - 47:19, 66:23 passport [2] - 23:1, 23:22 passports [1] - 59:3 past [3] - 17:5, 35:2,

55:20 pattern [3] - 9:16, 62:18, 62:20 Paul [1] - 11:4 pay [4] - 49:5, 49:11, 49:25, 55:5 pending [6] - 4:9, 4:13, 4:15, 11:1, 47:3, 56:3 people [18] - 5:21, 8:4, 8:18, 8:19, 9:5, 9:9, 9:16, 35:13, 40:11, 40:16, 49:16, 50:22, 53:20, 54:1, 54:17, 57:20, 59:15, 59:16 percent [1] - 50:9 perfectly [1] - 47:1 perhaps [2] - 28:13, 47:8 period [6] - 15:18, 18:5, 31:21, 36:4, 39:13, 61:8 **periods** [1] - 64:13 permitted [3] - 31:1, 38:8 person [7] - 11:15, 16:11, 44:17, 45:21, 59:7, 64:11, 67:11 personnel [4] - 26:2, 60:15, 62:8, 64:7 persons [6] - 5:13, 6:24, 7:3, 8:5, 8:10, 9:10 perspective [5] - 5:6, 26:9, 34:5, 54:21, 55:1 petition [1] - 15:13 phase [1] - 25:21 phone [4] - 25:25, 26:2, 26:3, 65:10 physically [1] - 35:15 pieces [1] - 25:3 place [7] - 5:25, 10:6, 10:25, 38:25, 40:14, 48:19, 53:23 placed [1] - 42:5 places [1] - 66:6 plainly [1] - 61:17 plaintiff [10] - 3:5, 3:19, 14:14, 15:20, 16:20, 21:24, 36:11, 40:10, 44:9, 45:21 PLAINTIFFS [1] -1:13 **Plaintiffs** [1] - 35:16 plaintiffs [44] - 3:4, 3:7, 5:5, 6:23, 8:21, 9:1, 11:2, 11:4, 14:18, 15:12, 15:20,

16:24, 22:7, 22:24, 27:13, 32:3, 32:13, 32:15, 34:8, 34:17, 36:5, 36:19, 37:17, 43:5, 43:15, 45:13, 45:25, 46:5, 47:14, 47:20, 47:24, 48:7, 48:17, 48:23, 49:4. 49:5, 49:12, 49:21, 52:8, 53:3, 54:11, 54:13, 54:16, 54:20 plaintiffs' [41] - 4:20, 4:21, 5:14, 5:17, 6:25, 16:19, 18:23, 20:20, 21:7, 21:11, 21:12, 21:15, 22:10, 22:20, 23:11, 23:24, 24:4, 24:8, 24:11, 24:17, 25:6, 25:15, 25:16, 25:21, 25:24, 25:25, 26:7, 26:16, 27:3, 27:15, 33:7, 34:6, 38:22, 42:23, 43:11, 43:17, 55:3, 55:13, 55:20, 57:12, 67:2 **Plaintiffs'** [1] - 54:19 plan [1] - 27:18 platform [1] - 24:24 plausible [1] - 51:10 play [1] - 32:25 playing [1] - 32:24 pleading [2] - 35:24, 49:14 **pleadings** [1] - 5:17 plenty [3] - 13:2, 52:13, 52:16 plethora [1] - 41:22 plus [3] - 6:25, 8:25 POI's [1] - 59:14 point [13] - 6:17, 7:5, 20:8, 21:10, 22:25, 35:17, 38:2, 38:21, 44:4, 56:20, 60:10, 60:11, 66:21 points [3] - 6:11, 57:9, 65:18 policy [2] - 13:18, 39:20 portal [2] - 27:1, 34:3 position [10] - 7:10, 10:14, 36:9, 36:12, 47:3, 49:25, 56:16, 67:9, 67:11 positive [1] - 46:19 possession [3] -58:20, 63:12, 63:14 possessions [1] -49:2 possible [1] - 16:17

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

possibly [1] - 56:15 posting [1] - 8:11 postpones [1] -45:18 potential [2] - 13:20, 55:9 potentially [2] - 59:1, 59:9 predicates [1] -31:16 preference [1] -46:24 prepare [1] - 37:9 prepared [1] - 37:8 preparing [1] - 24:12 present [2] - 15:15, 54:15 presentation [1] -29:19 presented [1] - 47:20 presently [1] - 6:13 preserve [6] - 42:22, 42:25, 43:1, 43:5, 43:8, 43:10 preserved [1] - 39:15 press [1] - 56:24 Press [2] - 63:25, pressures [1] - 15:16 pretermit [1] - 8:5 print [2] - 29:3, 29:7 privileged [5] -42:10, 44:12, 44:16, 45:1, 66:11 probable [1] - 63:3 problem [6] - 4:3, 25:11, 27:25, 42:3, 46:5, 47:17 procedural [2] -5:12, 6:4 procedure [1] -37:14 Procedure [1] -18:11 proceed [4] - 5:12, 6:5, 28:14, 52:17 proceeding [3] -15:15, 16:1, 52:4 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:10 Proceedings [1] -1:24 proceedings [1] -68:1 process [4] - 30:17, 34:1, 48:20, 50:5 produce [7] - 28:4, 35:18, 35:19, 37:3, 37:19, 42:15 produced [22] - 1:25,

12:6, 12:20, 12:23, 17:22, 20:16, 25:6, 25:9, 25:13, 28:12, 28:15, 30:23, 31:10, 33:21, 48:21, 50:5, 58:19, 61:12, 64:3, 66:10, 66:11 producing [5] - 18:4, 21:25, 39:6, 39:7, 39:8 production [7] -12:3, 28:3, 28:21, 38:3, 43:18, 57:18, 64:7 progress [1] - 66:18 proper [1] - 12:17 proportionality [1] proposal [3] - 29:6, 29:15, 38:6 propose [2] - 10:10, 52:20 proposed [4] -20:20, 28:2, 29:4, 37:24 protect [4] - 34:13, 37:15, 37:16, 39:21 protected [1] - 37:20 protection [3] - 21:5, 32:10, 56:5 protective [2] -15:21, 67:3 prove [2] - 32:20, 50:10 provide [5] - 20:6, 25:8, 37:16, 38:21, 45:7 provided [13] -13:13, 19:21, 20:2, 20:3, 20:22, 21:13, 21:14, 22:19, 23:5, 24:4, 30:24, 33:15, 64:11 provides [1] - 63:18 providing [1] - 24:8 provision [2] - 37:20, 39:7 public [2] - 13:18, 39:20 purely [1] - 12:9 pursuant [4] - 30:23, 37:10, 37:20, 38:13 pursuing [1] - 56:18 Pushka [1] - 35:13

put [9] - 14:7, 15:19,

15:21, 24:24, 32:16,

34:3, 35:17, 35:23,

38:14

Q questioner [1] -52:18 questioning [2] -39:24, 53:3 questions [5] - 26:7, 26:17, 48:17, 49:9, quick [2] - 33:1, 57:9 quicker [1] - 17:4 Quinn [2] - 1:18, 11:5 quite [3] - 3:18, 3:21, 57:19 quote [4] - 27:7, 28:5, 34:9, 42:24 R raise [1] - 60:11 raised [7] - 12:3, raises [1] - 57:24 raising [1] - 59:1 22:2. 22:6. 22:23.

radically [1] - 29:10 12:18, 48:15, 56:20, 58:11, 58:16, 64:23 RAMCHANDRA [1] ran [11] - 6:14, 21:13, 23:7, 23:12, 23:23, 23:24, 24:2, 24:16 reach [3] - 7:16, 8:2, 34:3 read [3] - 12:24, 13:2, 54:8 ready [3] - 50:16, 50:19, 65:18 real [3] - 8:17, 31:18, 33:1 realized [2] - 30:8, 39:13 really [16] - 6:1, 6:12, 7:23, 10:19, 18:8, 31:15, 34:3, 38:21, 39:19, 45:22, 47:10, 47:14, 52:6, 62:5, 67:1 reason [13] - 28:19, 32:7, 34:5, 38:21, 39:21, 40:4, 40:6, 40:7, 40:8, 48:25, 51:22, 59:12, 60:22 reasonable [11] -10:5, 10:9, 11:14, 16:22, 26:23, 34:4, 42:12, 42:15, 49:23,

50:7, 52:2 reasonably [1] - 34:1 reasons [2] - 12:5, 12:14 recalled [1] - 23:15 receive [1] - 56:18 received [3] - 5:1, 27:19, 49:18 receiving [2] - 23:24, 44:18 recent [1] - 3:19 Recess [1] - 45:11 recognized [2] -13:18, 13:19 record [9] - 21:20, 27:12, 29:12, 53:23, 58:25, 59:5, 60:13, 60:16, 68:1 recorded [1] - 1:24 records [10] - 56:23, 58:24, 59:7, 59:20, 59:22, 59:25, 64:7, 64:8, 65:23, 66:5 redact [1] - 40:15 reduce [1] - 28:10 referenced [1] -59:13 referred [1] - 8:23 refused [1] - 65:1 regard [11] - 20:17, 21:2, 22:7, 22:16, 26:13, 27:18, 28:1, 32:23, 34:19, 34:21, 57:13 regarding [4] - 5:13, 6:6, 18:17, 58:22 Regardless [1] -26:10 reiterate [1] - 37:1 rejected [2] - 37:25, 50:9 relate [1] - 22:25 related [12] - 15:3, 21:19, 22:2, 22:8, 23:8, 23:13, 24:2, 39:7, 56:24, 62:17, 63:7, 65:25 relationship [1] -33:10 relative [1] - 55:8 release [2] - 40:9, 40:17 relevance [1] - 64:9 relevancy [2] - 60:3, 60:8 relevant [14] - 7:3, 8:16, 19:15, 20:18, 25:1, 25:3, 25:4, 25:5, 25:7, 33:22, 42:11, 63:2, 63:7,

63:10 reliably [1] - 59:24 remain [1] - 63:9 remaining [3] - 60:7, 60:9, 65:19 remains [2] - 5:7, 40:22 remember [2] - 41:8, 41:10 Repeat [1] - 62:24 repeatedly [1] -62:12 reply [1] - 57:4 report [3] - 33:8, 37:13, 58:18 Report [1] - 44:7 reported [1] - 44:23 **Reporter** [1] - 68:5 **REPORTER** [4] - 2:9, 4:1, 9:6, 31:2 reports [1] - 56:23 Reports [3] - 43:18, 44:10, 63:20 represent [2] -40:18, 57:21 represented [1] -57:16 request [5] - 58:10, 64:9, 66:12, 66:13, 66:15 requested [10] -11:19, 11:20, 12:1, 12:2, 14:1, 44:14, 58:11, 63:24, 65:23, 66:5 requests [5] - 4:25, 31:9, 55:23, 56:3, require [4] - 16:24, 38:6, 48:18, 60:1 required [2] - 35:23, 60:7 requires [1] - 32:19 resolution [1] - 67:9 resolve [1] - 29:9 resolved [2] - 6:18, 46:17 resources [1] - 51:10 respect [3] - 5:22, 12:18, 47:13 respond [9] - 36:14, 36:17, 40:22, 50:2, 56:7, 56:14, 58:10, 61:9, 65:14 responded [4] -29:12, 54:12, 57:19, 65:16 responding [4] -41:23, 55:22, 56:2, 56:10

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

response [22] - 5:1, 8:24, 16:21, 17:24, 26:22, 30:6, 34:6, 34:14, 36:5, 36:17, 38:18, 43:12, 43:14, 60:13, 60:24, 60:25, 61:4, 61:7, 61:10, 65:7, 65:12, 66:15 responses [2] -34:16, 62:4 responsive [3] -17:23, 31:9, 60:1 rest [4] - 43:20, 43:22, 44:3, 57:1 restrooms [1] -14:19 result [5] - 7:7, 7:17, 25:13, 45:2, 64:18 results [2] - 20:22, 33:16 retain [2] - 39:11, 39:14 return [6] - 42:6, 45:25, 48:25, 50:20, 51:6, 51:12 returned [5] - 21:14, 22:5, 22:11, 23:14, 24:21 returns [1] - 33:20 reveal [1] - 62:4 review [23] - 17:3, 18:2, 18:7, 20:15, 20:23, 21:24, 24:24, 25:1, 25:5, 25:12, 25:20, 26:17, 27:7, 30:25, 31:5, 34:4, 38:4, 38:9, 38:15, 58:12, 58:24, 59:7, 60:2 reviewed [1] - 33:21 reviewing [1] - 27:6 revised [1] - 28:10 **RICO** [2] - 5:5, 60:3 right-hand [3] -19:20, 20:9, 22:3 rights [1] - 8:8 roadblock [1] - 17:5 Root [1] - 56:19 roughly [1] - 23:17 routinely [1] - 46:21 **RPR** [1] - 68:4 Rule [3] - 9:4, 9:5, 9:9 rule [4] - 9:21, 32:19, 65:22, 66:19 ruled [3] - 44:8, 44:11, 44:15 Rules [2] - 18:10, 18:11 rules [7] - 11:17,

16:11, 30:24, 37:12, 48:18, 57:12
run [25] - 7:11,
17:12, 17:14, 17:17,
19:1, 19:4, 19:7,
19:18, 19:21, 20:2,
20:8, 20:21, 21:16,
21:23, 22:11, 22:17,
22:25, 23:21, 24:3,
24:21, 28:3, 30:15,
33:15, 37:18, 38:14
running [1] - 18:25
Rusk [1] - 2:10

S

safety [1] - 15:17 Sanjay [2] - 30:7, 30:8 **SARLES** [15] - 1:17, 5:2, 5:4, 11:8, 11:19, 11:23, 11:25, 13:25, 14:10, 15:1, 31:14, 42:18, 42:20, 67:7, 67:15 Sarles [2] - 12:18, 13:23 saw [3] - 19:3, 23:5 School [1] - 62:16 school [1] - 18:9 scope [2] - 43:2, 43:4 screen [3] - 31:6, 38:4, 38:7 script [1] - 12:24 search [45] - 17:14, 19:1, 19:7, 19:14, 19:16, 19:17, 19:21, 20:1, 20:8, 20:13, 20:15, 20:17, 20:19, 21:8, 21:13, 21:15, 21:19, 21:22, 22:2, 22:7, 22:17, 22:22, 22:24, 23:11, 25:12, 25:13, 25:15, 25:19, 28:6, 28:7, 28:9, 28:11, 28:18, 33:11, 37:3, 42:5, 42:6, 42:13, 58:11, 59:21, 66:2, 66:13 searchable [2] -59:21, 59:24 searched [7] - 17:21, 19:15, 37:2, 60:16, 62:6, 66:5 searches [14] - 17:9, 17:13, 17:17, 17:23, 21:16, 24:10, 24:16, 24:18, 24:20, 26:19,

37:8, 37:18, 38:14 searching [2] - 60:7, 36:1, 36:21 66:9 shown [3] - 33:14, second [5] - 13:23, 42:4, 59:18 19:13, 19:14, 55:10, **shows** [3] - 20:4, 61.25 20:14, 21:22 secure [2] - 18:2, shut [1] - 35:17 31:1 see [16] - 8:17, 9:16, 62:10 17:25, 19:16, 19:20, sides [1] - 29:10 21:11, 21:21, 22:3, signed [2] - 14:5, 23:4, 26:23, 27:1, 15:9 30:5, 30:12, 42:8, significant [1] -50:25, 65:22 34:19 seeing [1] - 30:16 significantly [3] seek [1] - 4:6 63:3, 64:10, 65:24 seeking [2] - 44:9, similar [1] - 62:17 64:12 simple [3] - 6:11, seeks [1] - 58:13 42:4, 42:8 seem [2] - 25:21, **simply** [7] - 13:6, 31:6 13:17, 15:23, 29:15, select [1] - 28:21 56:16, 57:19, 58:16 selected [2] - 28:12, single [1] - 58:24 28:24 sit [4] - 49:8, 49:15, sense [1] - 7:22 49:20, 67:10 sensitive [1] - 55:8 sitting [1] - 33:7 sent [8] - 4:19, 4:21, situation [3] - 15:12, 25:18, 29:4, 37:24, 15:24, 37:21 61:6, 61:10, 64:24 six [6] - 5:15, 20:13, sentence [2] - 30:11, 56:3, 61:7, 65:6, 65:13 separately [1] - 10:5 six-week [1] - 61:7 Separation [2] slang [1] - 30:13 63:17, 64:2 sling [1] - 32:22 separation [1] -Slow [2] - 14:25, 61:14 31:2 **September** [5] - 1:7, 3:2, 6:14, 41:15, **slowly** [1] - 33:5 43:13 Slowly [2] - 23:6, serious [2] - 18:16, 33:5 49:13 **smaller** [1] - 25:21 served [6] - 55:23, **So..** [1] - 8:12 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, **software** [2] - 26:19, 61:21, 61:25 26:25 set [12] - 12:5, 22:1, solution [2] - 5:18, 22:7, 27:1, 44:22, 37:11 53:21, 56:9, 56:12, 59:3, 63:20, 66:20, 35:6, 44:21, 51:12 66:25 sophisticated [1] seven [6] - 5:23, 52:16 7:21, 11:20, 16:4, **sorry** [8] - 4:1, 5:16, 16:5, 65:13 9:8, 27:22, 31:3, seven-page [1] -46:7, 48:8, 58:4 65:13 **Sorry** [1] - 30:10 sharp [1] - 31:17 sort [1] - 14:2 sheets [1] - 14:5 sound [1] - 64:8 shield [2] - 15:24, sounds [1] - 26:23 South [1] - 1:18 **short** [1] - 45:10

showing [3] - 35:20, speaking [1] - 11:25 special [1] - 11:17 specialist [1] - 26:4 specifically [1] -37:21 specificity [1] - 41:4 speech [11] - 12:7, side [3] - 26:5, 56:21, 12:8, 12:9, 12:21, 12:22, 13:1, 39:9, 39:12, 39:15, 42:21, 42:23 **speed** [1] - 17:3 spend [1] - 57:10 spent [3] - 10:17, 27:5, 54:7 **split** [1] - 63:19 spoliated [1] - 16:7 spoliation [3] -12:19, 12:20, 13:5 spread [1] - 9:24 stage [2] - 46:25, 48:4 start [3] - 6:4, 23:3, 63:21 Start [2] - 30:11, 58:6 started [2] - 17:20, 60:23 State [1] - 50:8 state [1] - 63:24 statement [2] -37:22, 54:25 statements [1] -65:14 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 States [4] - 48:24, slow [2] - 14:25, 58:2 50:8, 50:25, 62:23 station [1] - 10:21 **status** [3] - 33:8, 37:13, 40:12 stay [1] - 36:25 stayed [1] - 15:5 stenography [1] -Stephanie [1] - 68:4 **STEPHANIE** [1] - 2:9 someone [4] - 34:25, sticking [1] - 38:2 still [8] - 12:9, 26:10, 27:9, 34:9, 37:3, 41:24, 63:10, 63:19 stipulation [8] - 17:1, 18:12, 29:4, 29:6, 30:24, 37:11, 37:16, 37:25 stood [1] - 65:18 stories [1] - 48:22 story [2] - 22:15 strange [1] - 49:3 Southern [1] - 44:15 street [1] - 51:18 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:1 Street [1] - 1:18

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157

show [1] - 62:18

testifying [1] - 7:21

testimony [7] - 10:6,

stretched [1] - 16:12 strikes [2] - 49:3, 66:1 strong [4] - 17:7, 32:11, 39:20, 54:15 strongly [2] - 50:6, 50:17 struggling [2] -31:25, 53:15 stuck [2] - 17:17, 41:22 stumbled [1] - 63:23 subject [5] - 10:14, 12:15, 31:17, 55:19, 58:19 subjects [2] - 12:16, 12:17 **submitted** [1] - 14:13 subpoena [3] -57:17, 57:20, 66:16 subsequent [3] -62:13, 63:2, 63:6 substantive [1] -36:17 Subway [1] - 14:23 sue [1] - 48:7 sufficient [1] - 45:16 suffixes [2] - 61:23, 61:24 suggested [2] -11:14, 67:13 suggestion [2] -17:8, 46:10 **suit** [1] - 47:20 Suite [3] - 1:15, 2:5, **Sullivan** [1] - 1:18 summary [13] - 5:15, 27:20. 31:19. 34:6. 34:14, 36:6, 36:15, 36:18, 38:11, 40:22, 43:12, 45:19, 52:22 summer [5] - 21:12, 29:22, 29:25, 30:1, 37:9 super [1] - 17:11 **support** [3] - 34:12, 35:22, 36:22 supporting [1] -52:14 supportive [2] -46:4, 46:21 suppose [1] - 40:15 supposed [1] - 29:9 Supreme [3] - 62:12, 62:16, 62:22 **surprise** [1] - 14:12 survive [2] - 45:19, 52:22 suspect [1] - 16:23

sword [1] - 15:24 sworn [2] - 19:3, 22:10 syllable [1] - 58:6

T

table [4] - 31:7, 49:8, 49:20, 67:10 tackle [1] - 25:11 tag [1] - 38:9 targeted [1] - 29:23 task [1] - 26:24 Tayback [2] - 10:11, 14:14 Tayback's [1] - 39:24 technology [1] -52:16 telephone [2] -52:15, 52:17 temporal [2] - 43:2, 43:4 ten [5] - 6:18, 7:10, 11:14, 11:25, 65:16 Ten [1] - 11:24 tend [2] - 48:1, 62:17 term [3] - 28:21, 37:2, 37:4 terminal [1] - 31:1 terminals [2] - 18:2, 18:6 terms [64] - 4:9, 5:21, 5:24, 9:20, 11:12, 16:18, 17:10, 19:1, 19:8, 19:14, 19:16, 19:17, 19:21, 20:1, 20:9, 20:13, 20:15, 20:17, 20:19, 20:21, 21:8, 21:13, 21:15, 21:19, 21:22, 22:3, 22:7, 22:17, 22:22, 22:24, 23:12, 23:20, 23:23, 23:24, 24:2, 24:5, 24:10, 25:12, 25:14, 25:15, 25:19, 28:2, 28:5, 28:6, 28:8, 28:9, 28:11, 28:18, 28:24, 30:12, 30:13, 31:19, 33:11, 37:9, 42:5, 42:6, 42:13 terrain [1] - 3:21 terribly [1] - 48:6 terrorist [1] - 15:16 test [5] - 24:18, 24:20, 30:12, 40:5 tested [1] - 30:7

testified [3] - 35:3,

39:22, 42:24

12:7, 34:17, 40:5, 48:1, 54:13, 54:16 tests [2] - 24:18, 30:3 Texas [2] - 2:6, 2:10 **TEXAS** [2] - 1:1, 1:8 THE [142] - 1:10, 3:3, 3:8, 3:11, 3:17, 3:25, 4:1, 4:3, 4:8, 4:12, 4:18, 5:3, 5:11, 6:15, 6:20, 6:22, 7:8, 7:14, 7:19, 8:8, 8:13, 8:20, 8:25, 9:6, 9:7, 9:13, 9:20, 10:4, 10:8, 10:23, 11:7, 11:17, 11:22, 11:24, 12:12, 13:7, 13:11, 13:14, 13:23, 14:8, 14:25, 16:3, 17:7, 17:24, 18:13, 18:21, 19:5, 19:12, 19:23, 20:5, 23:6, 23:18, 24:14, 27:21, 27:24, 28:7, 28:25, 29:9, 29:17, 29:25, 30:9, 30:11, 30:19, 31:2, 31:12, 31:15, 31:24, 32:5, 33:2, 33:5, 33:17, 36:12, 36:24, 37:5, 37:22, 38:2, 38:17, 38:24, 39:3, 39:6, 39:17, 40:13, 40:21, 41:2, 41:6, 41:11, 41:17, 41:25, 42:2, 42:19, 43:11, 43:24, 44:2, 44:17, 45:1, 45:5, 45:8, 45:10, 45:12, 46:9, 46:14, 47:5, 47:10, 47:17, 48:5, 48:13, 48:15, 50:3, 50:14, 50:19, 50:24, 51:2, 51:5, 51:8, 51:25, 52:9, 53:5, 53:8, 55:7, 55:18, 56:4, 56:21, 57:3, 57:6, 57:22, 58:2, 58:5, 60:20, 62:2, 62:9, 62:19, 62:24, 63:11, 64:4, 64:7, 64:15, 64:22, 65:21, 66:17, 67:6, 67:13, 67:16 the.. [1] - 17:9 thematically [1] -5:19 themselves [1] -

third [4] - 15:16, 30:13, 33:9, 59:2 threat [1] - 65:12 threaten [1] - 35:11 threatened [2] -35:14, 65:7 three [7] - 11:19, 12:1, 32:1, 56:22, 58:14, 65:17, 65:19 Three [2] - 55:13, 57:9 threshold [3] - 36:1, 36:21, 50:13 thumb [1] - 9:21 ties [1] - 51:10 timeframe [1] - 5:23 today [6] - 3:13, 18:18, 34:17, 42:6, 66:12, 67:20 took [6] - 14:15, 20:12, 39:10, 44:6, 44:22, 65:14 top [2] - 19:16, 21:22 topic [1] - 13:14 topics [2] - 14:1, 15:10 total [5] - 7:2, 16:5, 22:12, 23:13, 24:3 totality [1] - 36:10 toward [1] - 48:3 trafficking [8] -17:15, 23:1, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 23:14, 39:22, 66:1 transcribed [1] -13:4 transcript [5] - 1:24, 14:13, 34:10, 39:23, 68:1 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:10 transcription [1] -1:25 translation [3] -7:20, 9:22, 10:18 translator [1] - 54:24 transnational [1] -47:22 travel [4] - 11:12, 14:11, 49:5, 49:8 treatment [1] - 59:2 trial [15] - 3:23, 4:6, 45:20, 47:25, 48:1, 48:3, 48:10, 48:11, 52:24, 52:25, 53:1, 53:18, 53:19, 53:20 tried [2] - 25:17,

they've [3] - 10:15,

thinking [1] - 28:16

17:9, 36:20

62:11 trip [2] - 14:23, 35:10 truth [2] - 19:2, 49:17 try [11] - 3:22, 4:7, 7:22, 24:13, 25:11, 26:10, 26:11, 30:15, 34:1, 37:9, 66:8 trying [13] - 7:16, 18:8, 25:15, 25:19, 29:22, 32:23, 32:25, 33:22, 42:11, 49:19, 52:1, 53:13, 63:16 Tuesday [1] - 41:15 turn [5] - 10:5, 16:18, 19:13, 23:12, 43:17 turned [1] - 30:14 Two [1] - 6:11 two [24] - 6:4, 6:12, 9:25, 10:12, 14:1, 22:21, 27:7, 28:4, 29:10, 31:7, 32:1, 34:19, 34:21, 41:11, 44:10, 58:14, 58:20, 58:22, 59:12, 60:5, 60:24, 67:1, 67:18 type [7] - 37:21, 44:6, 49:17, 53:14, 54:25, 58:10 typed [3] - 13:1, 13:4, 39:11 types [5] - 54:25, 59:3, 59:9, 65:2, 66:8 typewritten [1] -59:24

U

U.N [3] - 12:7, 12:18,

42:21 **U.S** [6] - 2:9, 48:25, 51:5, 51:9, 51:11, 62:16 Ultimately [1] - 22:8 ultimately [2] - 27:3, 48:3 um.. [1] - 63:10 unanimous [1] -39:19 unaware [1] - 47:18 under [16] - 9:3, 9:5, 9:9, 16:19, 19:17, 38:20, 39:14, 41:23, 43:1, 43:7, 43:9, 55:12, 61:17, 63:19, 64:1, 65:8 Under [1] - 38:16 underlying [7] -15:13, 19:23, 19:24,

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157 -

therefore [1] - 28:17

-Stephanie Kay Carlisle, CSR, RPR 713.250.5157

24:14, 24:15, 29:21, 31:11 understood [1] - 8:25 unfair [1] - 27:11 unfortunately [1] - 49:12 Unfortunately [1] - 50:21 UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:11 United [5] - 12:21, 48:24, 50:8, 50:25, 62:23 unlikely [1] - 10:25 unnecessarily [1] - 66:24 unreliable [1] - 59:22 unsettling [1] - 48:7	55:9, 55:10 view [2] - 50:11, 51:16 villagers [2] - 50:12, 54:14 vindicate [1] - 3:23 violence [1] - 39:25 visa [5] - 48:20, 50:4, 50:9, 51:16, 51:17 visas [14] - 45:14, 46:10, 46:13, 47:2, 48:23, 49:10, 49:23, 50:8, 50:12, 50:17, 51:4, 51:22, 51:23, 52:22 volume [1] - 55:21 VS [1] - 1:6	word [2] - 26:19, 54:3 words [4] - 17:15, 27:7, 28:17, 29:22 worker [3] - 10:21, 34:22, 34:24 workers [1] - 35:5 world [1] - 31:18 worried [1] - 6:4 worship [1] - 6:4 worth [1] - 18:19 writing [3] - 31:20, 65:5, 67:19 written [1] - 34:16 wrote [5] - 42:23, 60:23, 60:24, 61:2, 65:13
unsettling [1] - 48:7 unusual [2] - 47:22,	VV	Y
47:25 unwitting [1] - 63:5 up [27] - 5:24, 10:4, 13:1, 16:5, 21:21, 22:25, 25:20, 26:23, 29:22, 30:8, 30:15, 34:2, 35:17, 36:4, 36:7, 36:20, 39:11, 39:24, 44:5, 44:6, 44:22, 52:1, 53:12, 53:13, 58:5, 60:12 Urquhurt [1] - 1:18 useful [1] - 28:18 utilized [1] - 37:13	wage [1] - 10:21 waiting [5] - 28:25, 29:1, 30:19, 30:20, 37:5 waiver [1] - 37:16 warehouse [1] - 34:24 Washington [2] - 1:15, 24:25 wasted [2] - 14:16, 14:19 water [1] - 41:23 ways [1] - 23:8 week [1] - 61:7	y'all [3] - 7:25, 14:8, 14:9 year [3] - 21:5, 32:9, 56:8 years [1] - 59:7 yesterday [3] - 33:8, 56:14, 57:5 York [5] - 1:14, 11:1, 11:10, 11:12, 14:12 young [1] - 58:5 yourselves [1] - 4:13
uttered [1] - 12:20		
utterly [1] - 49:3	weekend [1] - 16:16 weeks [4] - 28:4, 36:14, 60:25, 65:6 welcome [1] - 3:3 West [1] - 1:15	zero [1] - 7:12
variance [1] - 20:20 variations [1] - 19:18 various [4] - 18:25, 22:4, 48:22, 66:6 vast [1] - 25:11 venue [1] - 38:2 verifying [1] - 55:25 version [4] - 12:21, 13:2, 13:4, 13:12 versus [2] - 62:16, 62:23 victims [1] - 8:11 video [12] - 6:1, 12:22, 46:24, 47:5, 47:9, 47:25, 49:19, 52:14, 52:17, 53:14, 54:22, 67:3 Video [1] - 49:11 videoconferencing [4] - 45:16, 45:18,	what [1] - 63:15 whatsoever [1] - 61:4 whole [1] - 13:8 willing [10] - 11:12, 24:23, 36:5, 43:20, 45:16, 47:1, 50:16, 56:25, 57:1, 64:18 wish [3] - 5:11, 16:5, 31:12 withdraw [1] - 65:1 withdrawing [1] - 4:25 witness [3] - 14:17, 52:18, 54:24 witnesses [7] - 6:24, 7:20, 14:23, 52:23, 52:25, 54:17, 67:11 woman [1] - 58:5 wonder [1] - 51:13	